

# BIONETICS

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-51
METHOCEL

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-51
METHOCEL

#### SUBMITTED TO

FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

SUBMITTED BY

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 5516 NICHOLSON LANE KENSINGTON, MARYLAND

**NOVEMBER 22, 1974** 



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

T	DEBART			Page	No.
I.	REPORT	• • • • • •	***************************************	. 1	
	A.	Introd	luction		
	В.		itve		
	Č.		ind		
	•	1.	Test Material	. 3 . 3 . 3	
		2.	Dosages	, 3	
	D.				
	E.		\$		
	E.		y	. 4	
		].	Host-Mediated Assay		
		2.	Cytogenetics		
			a. <u>In vivo</u>		
		_	b. <u>In vitro</u>	. 5	
	_	3.	Dominant Lethal		
	F.	Result	s and Discussion	. 5	
		1.	Toxicity Data - Test I		
			a. In vivo	5	
			b. In vitro	. 6	
			c. Toxicity data sheets		
		2.	Host-Mediated Assay - Test I	12	
			a. Host-mediated assay summary sheets.	14	
			b. Host-mediated assay data sheets	14	
		3.		16	
		J.	Toxicity Data - Test II		
			a. Acute	• -	
		,	b. Subacute		
			c. Toxicity data sheets	46	
		4.	Host-Mediated Assay - Test II	48	
			<ul> <li>Host-mediated assay summary sheets.</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>b. Host-mediated assay data sheets</li> </ul>	. 52	
		5.	Cytogenetics - Test I	71	
			a. In vivo	71	
			b. <u>In vitro</u>		
			c. Cytogenetic summary sheets	72	
		6.	Cytogenetics - Test II	76	
		•	Cytogenetic summary sheets		
	<b>*</b> .	7.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test I	80	
		•	a. Acute study	90	
			b. Subacute study		
				80	
			Dominant Toolia, about Summary		
			sheets	81	
		8.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test II	98	
		-	Dominant lethal assay summary		
			sheets	99	
II.	MATERIA	LS AND	METHODS	116	
			i .		
	A.	AN IMA [	Husbandry	116	
		1.	Animals (Rats and Mice)	-116	*
		2.	Preparation of Diet	116	
		3.	Husbandry	116	



# TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

				Page N
I.	MATERI	ALS AND	METHODS (continued)	
	В.	Dosage	Determination	. 116
		1.	Acute LD50 and LD5 Determination	. 116
	_	2.	Subacute Studies	. 118
	C.	Mutage	nicity Testing Protocols	. 118
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay	. 118
			a. Acute study	
			b. Subacute study	
			c. <u>In vitro</u> study	. 121
		2.	Cytogenetic Studies	
			a. <u>In vivo study</u>	
			b. In vitro study	. 124
		3.	Dominant Lethal Assay	. 126
	D.		mentary Materials and Methods	. 127
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay In Vitro and Formulae	. 127
		~•	a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests	. 127
			b. In vitro for mitotic recombination	. 127
			c. Minimal medium (bacteria)	. 128
			d. Complete medium (bacteria)	. 129
			e. Complete medium (yeast)	. 129
		2.	Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of	
			Anaphase Chromosomes	. 130
		3.	Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal	
			Studies	. 131
			a. The fertility index	. 131
			b. Total number of implantations	131
			c. Total number of corpora lutea	131
			d. Preimplantation losses	131
			e. Dead implants	132
			f. One or more dead implants	132
			g. Two or more dead implants	132
			h. Dead implants per total implants	132
	E.	Referen		
	-		Host-Mediated Assay	135
	₩ .	2.	Cytogenetics	135
			Dominant Lethal	136
	<b>E</b>	Abbasid		130



#### I. REPORT

#### A. <u>Introduction</u>

Litton Bionetics, Inc. (LBI) has investigated the possible mutagenicity of compounds selected and provided by the Food and Drug Administration under Contract 71-268. LBI's investigation utilized the three mammalian test systems herein described -- Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. These tests provide information as to the types of genetic damage caused by environmental compounds -- pesticides, chemicals, food additives, drugs and cosmetics.

The Host-Mediated Assay is based upon the assumption that the action of a mutagen on the genetics of bacteria is similar to that in man. This is further strengthened by the use of an eukaryotic organism (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Since the mutation frequencies are well established for the indicator organism, any deviation due to the action of the test compound is readily detectable. As some compounds are mutagenic in bacteria and not in the host animal, and vice versa, this test is able to differentiate an action which may have been due to hosts' ability to detoxify or potentiate a suspected mutagen. This action is dependent upon the ability of the compound to gain access to the peritoneal cavity. Coupled with the direct action of the compound on the indicator organism in vitro, the assay provides a clear insight into host-mediation of mutagenicity.

Cytogenetics provides a valuable tool for the direct observation of chromosomal damage in somatic cells. Alteration of the chromosome number and/or form in somatic cells may be an index of mutation. These studies utilized examination of bone marrow cells arrested in C-metaphase from rats exposed to the test compound as compared to positive and negative control animals. If mutational



changes occur, the types of damage expected due to the action of chemicals are structural rearrangements, breaks and other forms of damage to the chromosomal complement of the cells exposed.

For the <u>in vitro</u> cytogenetic studies, we have a more rapid and inexpensive means of determining chromosomal damage. This is accomplished by observing cells in anaphase. As the chromatids separate and move along the spindle, aberrations may occur. Chromatids which do not migrate to the daughter cells may lead to uneven distribution of parts or of entire chromatids (mitotic nondysjunction). These give rise to "side arm" bridges which have been interpreted as point stickiness or localized failures of chromosome duplication point errors. These aberrations (bridges, pseudochiasmata, multipolar cells, acentric fragments, etc.) are extremely sensitive indicators of genetic damage.

The Dominant Lethal Test is an accurate and sensitive measure of the amount and type of fetal wastage which may occur following administration of a potential mutagen. Dominant lethal mutations are indicators of lethal genetic lesions. The effects of mutagens on the chromosomal complement of the spermatozoa of treated males results in alterations of form and number of chromosomes. Structural rearrangements and aneuploidy may lead to the production of non-viable zygotes, early and late fetal deaths, abortions and congenital malformations. In addition, aberrations could lead to sterility or reduced reproductive capacity of the  $F_{\parallel}$  generation. The action of a mutagen on specific portions of spermatogenesis is also apparent in this test.

### B. <u>Objective</u>

The purpose of these studies is to determine any mutagenic effect of the test compound by employing the Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies



and the Dominant Lethal Assay, both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests are employed with the cytogenetic and microbial test systems. These tests and their descriptions are referenced in the Appendices A through F.

#### C. Compound

#### 1. Test Material

Compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, MC Premium, Lot Number MM030728C, as supplied by the Food and Drug Administration.

#### 2. Dosages

The animal employed, the determination of the dosage levels and the route of administration are contained in the technical discussion.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-51 are as follows for the Cytogenetic Studies <u>in vivo</u> in rats.

	Test I+	Test II <sup>+</sup>
Low Level	4.75 mg/kg	
Intermediate Level	47.5 mg/kg	
LD5 Negative Control	475.0 mg/kg Saline	5000 mg/kg Food Pellet
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3  mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-51 are as follows for the Host-Mediated Assay in vivo in mice.

	Test I <sup>+</sup>	Test II+
Low Level Intermediate Level LD5 Negative Control Positive Control (EMS**)	4.75 mg/kg 47.5 mg/kg 475.0 mg/kg Saline 350 mg/kg	5000 mg/kg Saline 350 mg/kg
(DMN***)	100 mg/kg	100 mg/kg

<sup>\*</sup> Triethylene Melamine

<sup>+</sup> These two tests were performed at different time intervals.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Ethyl Methane Sulfonate \*\*\* Dimethyl Nitrosamine

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-51 are as follows for the Dominant Lethal Assay in vivo in rats.

	<u>Test I</u> <sup>+</sup>	Test II <sup>+</sup>
Low Level Intermediate Level LD5 Negative Control Positive Control (TEM*)	4.75 mg/kg 47.5 mg/kg 475.0 mg/kg Saline 0.3 mg/kg	5000 mg/kg Saline 0.3 mg/kg

The <u>in vitro</u> Cytogenetic Studies were performed employing three logarithmic dose levels.

Low Level	8.0 mcg/ml
Medium Level	80.0 mcg/m]
High Level	800.0 mcg/m]
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.1 mcg/ml

The discussion of this test is contained in the technical discussion.

### D. <u>Methods</u>

The protocols employed are explained in Appendices C and D.

### E. <u>Summary</u>

### 1. Host-Mediated Assay

This compound caused no significant increase in mutant or recombinant frequencies when tested in vitro and in vivo against Salmonella TA-1530 and G-46 and Saccharomyces D3, respectively.

# Cytogenetics

### a. <u>In vivo</u>

The compound produced no detectable significant aberration of the bone marrow metaphase chromosomes of rats when administered orally at the dosage levels employed in this study.

<sup>+</sup>These two tests were performed at different time intervals.



<sup>\*</sup>Triethylene Melamine

#### b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound produced no significant aberration in the anaphase chromosomes of human tissue culture cells when tested at the dosage levels employed in this study.

#### 3. Dominant Lethal

This compound was considered to be non-mutagenic in rats in the Dominant Lethal Assay when using the dosages employed in this study.

### F. Results and Discussion

1. Toxicity Data - Test I

#### a. <u>In vivo</u>

Compound FDA 71-51 was suspended in 0.85% saline and administered to ten male rats by intubation. The average weight of the animals was 250 grams and each received a dose of 5000 mg/kg. All animals were found dead within 24 hours. Necropsy indicated a swollen stomach with reddened patches of the mucosa.

Dose levels of 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 mg/kg were selected to determine an acute  $\rm LD_{50}$ . The toxicity data is presented on the  $\rm LD_{50}$  reporting form using the Litchfield-Wilcoxson method.

The LD $_{50}$  was determined as 720 mg/kg. The LD $_{5}$  dose level was derived from the raw data LD $_{50}$  probit line (uncorrected). The LD $_{50}$  determined from both corrected probit line and uncorrected probit line was within confidence limits of each other. The acute doses used were LD $_{5}$  - 475.0 mg/kg, intermediate - 47.5 mg/kg and low - 4.75 mg/kg. The subacute dose levels used were the same as those for the acute. The data on the dose levels, numbers of animals and necropsy findings are presented in the toxicity data sheets.



# b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound was suspended in 0.85% saline at the concentrations listed above. It was introduced into tubes containing WI-38 cells in a logarithmic phase of growth. The cells were observed for cytopathic effect (CPE) and the presence of mitoses at 24 and 48 hours.

Tube No.	No. of <u>Cells</u>	Conc. mcg/ml	CPE	<u>Mi toses</u>
1	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1000	+	+
2	•	1000	/ <b>+</b>	+
3		500		+
4	•	500	•	+
5	Ħ	200	-	+
6	W	200	-	*
7	el	100	•	.* <b>+</b>
8		100	*	+
9	et .	10	. •	+
10	**	10	-	+

Since an inhibition of mitoses was observed, a closer range of concentrations was employed, as follows.

	_			
1	5 X 10 <sup>5</sup>	1000	+	+
2	ii.	1000	. +	+
3	er .	800		+-
4	88	800	_	+-
5	*	600	· •	+:
6	<b>u</b>	600	•	+
7	•	500		+
8	M	500	• •	v v <sub>+</sub>
9	u	400		+;
10	. 10	400	<b>-</b> .	+

The 800 mcg/ml concentration was used as the high level, 80 mcg/ml as the intermediate and 8 mcg/ml as the low.

C. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST I



# TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-51

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form: Suspension

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 250 grams. All

animals were observed for ten (10) days.

### Range Finding:

	Dose mg/kg	# Dead # Animals	Day of Death and Necropsy
	5000	10/10	Day 1 (10): Swollen stomach; reddened mucosa with patches.
LD <sub>50</sub> :			
	100	0/5	None
	200	0/5	None '
	500	1/5	Day 3 (1): Swollen stomach; reddened mucosa with patches.
	1000	4/5	Day 1 (3) and Day 2 (1): Swollen stomach; reddened mucosa with patches.
	2000	5/5	Day 1 (5): Swollen stomach; reddened mucosa with patches.
<b>«</b>	3000	5/5	Day 1 (5): Swollen stomach; reddened mucosa with patches.

# LD50 REPORTING FORM USING LITCHFIELD-WILCOMON METHOD

DOSE	EFFECT	CURVE	FOR	Compound FDA 71-51 Methocel

DOSE	PROPORTION	OBSERVED PERCENT	PERCEUT (	OBS-EMPO , PERCENY	CONTRIB. TO (chi)2
100	0/5	0	0		
200 .	0/5	0	0 ;		
500	1/5	20	18		
1000	4/5	- 80	82		
2000	5/5	100	100		
		• 1	•		

Total animals = 20 Total =  $(CHI)^2 = 0.32$ Animals/Dos3 = 5 Dacrees of Freedom, n=k-2=2

(CHI)<sup>2</sup> for n of k-2 = 5.99 since 0.32 is less than 5.99 therefore data not significantly heterogeneous


LD<sub>84</sub> = 1050 LD<sub>50</sub> = 720 LD<sub>16</sub> = 500

 $fLD_{50} = S = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.45}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.45}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{(1.45)^{.876}}{(1.45)^{.876}} = \frac{1.39}{\sqrt{10}}$ 

 $LD_{50} \times feD_{50} = (720)(1.39) = 1000$ 

 $\frac{\text{LD}_{50}}{\text{fLD}_{50}} = \frac{(720)/(1.39)}{1.39} = 518$ 

LD50 and 19/20 Confidence Limits =  $P\{518 \le LD_{50} \le 1000\}$  = .95 Attached should be a plot of the dose-effect curve on log-probit paper.



# 2. Host-Mediated Assay - Test I

Compound FDA 71-51 caused no significant increase in mutant or recombinant frequencies when tested in the Host-Mediated Assay and in vitro tests against Salmonella TA-1530 and G-46 and Saccharomyces D3, respectively.



Compound: FDA-71-51

		Possible	In Vivo	
Indicator Strain	In Vitro	Low Recoveries	Controls	Other Comments
	211 41610	FOW MECOAELIES	CONCTOTS	Other Comments
TA-1530	pos.	NC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
	•	PC	-	2. PC a little low
Acutes 11/6/72	1000	· AL	PC OK	
	neg.	AI		
S-acutes 11/9/72		HA	SANC OK	
		SANC		
		SAL		
		SAI	*	
		SAH		
			•	
	•	•		
		and the second s	·	
G-46				
<b>U-40</b>		NC ·	NC OK	1. All doses negative
	200	NC PC	MC OK	2. PC a little low
cutes 11/27/72	pos.		DC OF	1
amtes 42 /4 /02	1000	AL'. AI	PC OK	
-acutes 12/1/72	neg.	AH A1	SANC OK	
		SANC	SAME ON	
		SAL		
		SAI		
		SAH		
			2	
D3 *		•		
		NC	NC Low	1. Plating densities on
0/30/72 Acutes	pos.	PC	NO DOM	Acute NC are too high
7,507,7 2204,000	•	AL	PC OK	reducing the recomb.
1/24/72 S_acutes	eneg.	AI	- VAL	frequencies too much.
		AH	SANC OK	2. Even though AI and AH
		SANC	SANC OK SAPC OK	doses appear positive
		SAL		against the acute NC
		SAI		they are not, against th
		SAH		S_acute negative contro
				which is in fact an acu
				NC and more in line wit expected NC frequencies

Summary: The results for the bacterial tests are clearly negative and should be acceptable. The PC values for both TA-1530 and G-46 are somewhat low but the individual animal data all appears consistent. The results for D3 more difficult to interpret because of the low value for the NC in the acute test. Because the S-acute negative control and other past negative control values have been around 5 recomb/10 surv., I do not consider the acute doses to be positive. If this interpretation is not acceptable 13 mese Should receive the problem.

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST I



### HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

#### SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOU	ND:	FDA	71-51
--------	-----	-----	-------

	11-71	SALMONE	LLA		SACCHAROM	YCFS D-3
en egit en	TA153	3 <b>0</b>	G-46	5		. 023 5 5
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MR(
ACUTE NC PC AL AI LD5 SUBACUTE NC SL SI SLD5	.68 7.54 1.14 1.86 1.94 .42 .43 .88 .64	11.09 1.68 2.74 2.85	.60 16.23 .90 .91 1.02 .24 .24 .29 .29 .27	27.05 1.50 1.52 1.70	2.86 60.17 4.61 6.94 10.32 10.32 10.10 8.93	21.04 1.61 2.43 3.61 1.19 2.04 1.81
IN VITRO TCPD NC PC	TA1530	G-46	% CONC 10.0 - 0.5	D-3 % SURVIVA 100.0 100.0 50.2	L R X 10E 1 4 387	<b>:5</b>

STOP SRU'S:.7 CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST I



COMPOUNDT FDA 71-51

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

ſ

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: NOVEMBER 6,1972

ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.GML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	12.20 16.60	2.03	2.00	.98
3 4	12.60 34.00	2.77 2.10 5.67	1.00 1.00 2.00	• 48 • 35.
6 7	13.50 15.70 19.80	2.25 2.62 3.30	2.00 2.00 3.00	. 89 . 76 . 91

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

	COL. (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0) (X	COL. D 10E-8)
RAGE	2.96	1.86	.68
MAX	3.63 5.57	2.00 3.00	.63 .98
TN THE	2.03	1.00	.35

17

(					and the same and t	
<i>p</i>	COMPOUND	FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530	
Tarjethra. H	DOSE LEVE	L: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - DMN - 1	00 MG/KG	e <del>e</del> transmission (22 cm) to a	
	TREATMENT	:::IN=VIVO;=:ORA	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	NOVEMBER A	972
						, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
	And the second of the second o	أأع والمستعد الشنها والأشوال الموا	<u> </u>			
t	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. Mutants X	MUTATION FRE (C/B)	
(1	NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	x 10E-8	1 21 11 11
	1	27.10 26.70	4.52	30.00	6.64	
PTE.	3	16.10	4.45 2.68	18.00	4.04	
74	4	22.10	3.68	28.00	7.45 7.60	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20.20	3.37	48.00	14.26	<b>.</b> 19
r-reg	5	21.80	3.53	29.00	7.98	
	7	27.40	4.57	22.00	4.82	
		IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA	7 V.S 3		•	ina I
	ing terminan di pangan di pang Pangan di pangan di p Pangan di pangan di pan		COL. S	COL. C	COL. D	Trinsland agencies
<b>3</b>	No. 10 and 10 an		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
		MEAN RANGE	3.84	27.86	7.54	•
		KARGE	1.88 4.57	30.00 48.00	10.21	
<b>74.</b> 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 1			713.4 713.4 2 6 8 1 1 2 1	70.00 75.00	14.26 4.04	
		그렇지 않고 뭐 하를 하다.				
, Ata	The state of the s	Total aliana and and and an annual and an analysis and an analysis of the an	SUMMARY WITH O	UT IERS REMOVED	ya manasan a manasan da militar industria	
نهدي والمستدون			COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D	
*		NEAN	(X 1058) 3.92	(X 10E0)	(X.10E-8)	
		RANGE	1.88	12.00	6.42 3.94	
was a constant	كالكافية والمختصات والكنوان أأكاف بالمحاد عادات	The state of the s	4.57	30.00	7.98	
A.		MIN	2.68	18.00	4.04	
STOP			2000	2500	7004	

COMPOUND: FUA /1-51			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530		
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 4.75 MG	/KG			
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL,	ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 6. 19	72
a per l'amende e l'amende l'a	ing panggara na Amananggan y <del>a sasa</del>	and the Boundary was	garagia (Cingraphia)		
ANIMAL NUMBER		TOTAL CFU X 10EB/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 :	70.50 38.40	11.75 6.40	8.00 11.00	•68 1•72	
3	24.50 35.50	4.08 5.92	9.00 1.00	2.20	
6 7	61.00 80.40 16.70	10.17 13.40 2.78	12.00 8.00 4.00	1.18 .60 1.44	
NO. OF COL	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUALS OUT OF RANGE EQU	<b>a</b>			
	Ngan <del>alia</del> atawa atawas	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	
	MEAN RANGE MAX	7.79 10.62 13.40	7.57 11.00	1.14 2.04	
NO OUTLIER	MIN	2.78	12.00 1.00	2.20 .17	•*

COMPOUND	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530
DOSE LEVI	EL: INTERMEDIATE	- 47.5 MG/KG		
TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO, ORAL	• ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 6, 1972
			<u> Paradan Changgaran</u>	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TATAL CELL V	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
	TOTAL OF ONE	TOPOS TO OME	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	<b>37.</b> 50	6.25	10.00	1.60
2	23.40	™ ™ ∭ <b>3.9</b> 0 / / · · ·	4.00	1.03
3	48.10	8.02	5.00	.62
<b>.</b>	8.70	1.45	11.00	7.59
6	13.20 60.70	2.20	2.00	
7	10.20	10.12	4.00	•40
	60.80	1.70	6.00	· 3•53
9	19.00	10.13 3.17	8.00	• 79
			1.00	•32
NO. OF AN	IIMALS EQUALS	9		
TOTAL CFU	OUT OF RANGE E	QUALS 1		
		COL. B	col. C	CoL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN -	5.21	5.67	1.86
en eller som en	RANGE	8.68	10.00	7.27
	MAX	10.13	11.00	7.59
	* MIN	1.45	1.00	•32

# \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	5.69°,	5.00	1.15
RANGE	8.43	9.00	3.21
MAX	10.13 N. T	10.00	3.53
MIN	1.70	1.00	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530  DATE STARTED: NOVEMBER 6, 1972			
DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 475.0 MG/KG TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE					
					ennemberar et se se en der engelee
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1	19.80	3.30	1.00	•30	
<u> </u>	18.30	3.05	14.00	1 4 • <u>59</u> · · · · · ·	
3 4	21.00 20.40	3.50	2.00	•57	
5	12.00	3.40 2.00	4.00 10.00	1.18 5.00	
6	18.40	3.07	7.00	2.28	
6 7	19.00	3.17	3.00	•95	
8	19.50	3,25	2.00	· 62	
TOTAL CFU	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E ITH ZERO MUTANT	QUALS 1			
ng mangan	en ig en er <del>endedeleg en den des generete</del> n.	COL. B. T	COL. C	COL. D	
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN	3.09	5.38	1.94	
aa Maaa aan aa Maa aa aa aa aa	RANGE	1.50	13.00	4.70	
	MAX	3.50	14.00	5.00	
	MIN	2.00	1.00	•30	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530			
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CON	NTROL - SALINE	(SUBACUTE TRIA	LS)		
TREATMENT	TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE		DATE STARTED: NOVEMBER 9, 1			
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	31.00 31.20 64.70 31.20 42.30 22.50 49.50 67.10	5.17 5.20 10.78 5.20 7.05 3.75 8.25 11.18	2.00 3.00 4.00 2.00 4.00 1.00 6.00	.39 .58 .37 .38 .57 .27 .73		
TOTAL CFU	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E ITH ZERO MUTANT	QUALS 1				
	MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. B (X 10E8) 7.07 7.43 11.18	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.88 5.00 6.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .42 .64 .73		

COMPOUND:	COMPOUND: FDA 71-51			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530		
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 4.75	MG/KG				
TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORA	L, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 9, 1	972	
e erege en en e e e erege en en e e e			ngga nging <b>G</b> panggapang	Tagana D		
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	 	
<u></u> 2	40.20 31.20	6.70	1.00	•15		
3 4	32.20 35.20	5.20 5.37 5.87	1.00 2.00 2.00	•19 •37 •34		
5	31.90 18.00	5.32 3.00	4.00 1.00	.75 .33		
6 7 8	33.50 39.60	5.58 6.60	2.00 6.00	36 .91	وسراء بجدست	
NO. OF CON	MALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA OUT OF RANGE E	\LS HILLIAN				
		COL. B	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D	er ves	
	MEAN .	5.45	2.38	(X 10E-8) •43		
	MAX	3.70 6.70	5,00 6,00	•76 •91		

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 47.50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: NOVEMBER 9, 1972

	r verten gemeen meer is 🛕 in het in verken 🕳 🗝	entrari de elegado 🔒 de la completa de lega	and particular to the second	The state of the s
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	34.50	5.75	6.00	1.04
3	50.60 45.20	8.43 7.53	5.00 6.00	•59 •80
- <b>5</b>	46.10 48.90	7.68 8.15	7.00 8.00	.91 .98
7	41.40 43.80 31.90	6•90 7•30 5•32	8.00 3.00 6.00	1.16
	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	8		
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN RANGE	(X 10E8) 7.13	(X 10E0) 6.13	(X 10E-8) •88
	MAX MIN	3.12 8.43 5.32	5.00 8.00 3.00	•75 1•16 •41
NO OUTLIE	RS <sup>1</sup>		7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530		
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 475.0	MG/KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO+ ORAL	., SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 9, 1972
energy of the			: Tengrafia Conjahawaga	ning awaranin i <b>D</b> oor, is a see see see see see
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	MUTATION FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	30.10	5.02	1.00	•20
2 3	33.10 45.40	5.52	1.00	•18
4	31.90	7.57 5.32	2.00 3.00	•26
5	35.40	5.90	5.00	•56
6	48.10	8.02	7.00	•87
7	46.00	7.67	7.00	• 91
- 11	31.50	5.25	7.00	1.33
7	32.00	5.33	3.00	•56
	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E			
	स्थापित पुरा पार्वसम्बद्धाराम् । स्थापा स्थापनस्य । स्थापना स्थापना स्थापना स्थापना स्थापना	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN	6.18	(X 10E0) 4.00	(X 10E-8)
ا المائدية المهياب المائدية المائد	RANGE	3.00	6.00	1.15
	MAX	8.02	7.00	1.33
	" MIN	5.02	1.00	•18

# \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	C	DL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X)	10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
MEAN		6.29	3.63	•55	
RANGE		3.00	6.00	•73	
MAX	그 등 부모 하셨다.	8.02	7.00	.91	
MIN		5.02	1.00	.18	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46			
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	TROL - SALINE		TO THE PERSON OF	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	-, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 27.	197
in the state of the second of	n an manaka na 🔘 ariyaka manahata	सम्बद्धाः । सम्बद्धाः । सम्बद्धाः ।	e e ogé Company	water corporation and	to the party of the second of
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	. :
1	42.10 50.00	7.02	3.00	.43	
3 4	35.20 80.70	8.33 5.87 13.45	7.00 4.00 5.00	.84 .68 .37	
5 6 7	81.20 31.40 91.00	13.53 5.23 15.17	7.00 5.00 4.00	•52 •96 •26	<u> </u>
NO. OF AN	51.20 IMALS EQUALS	8.53	6.00	.70	
TOTAL CFU	OUT OF RANGE E				
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8) 9.64	COL, C (X 10E0) 5.13	COL. D (X 10E-8)	······································
	RANGE MAX	9.93 15.17	4.00 7.00	•60 •69 •96	ti.
NO OUTLIEF	MIN	5.23	3.00	•26	

COMPOUND: FDA	71-51	ORGANISM:	SALMONELLA	G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: NOVEMBER 27, 1972

ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1	54.20	9.03	107.00	11.84	
* * <b>2</b> ** ** * <del>**</del> *	46.60	7,77	72.00 mm	9.27	
3	37.70	6.28	210.00	33.42	*
4 1	61.90	10.32	130.00	12.60	
5 ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	41.20	6.87	97.00	14.13	-1
6	57.20	9.53	121.00	12.69	
7	52.20	8.70	142.00	16.32	
- 8	62.20	10.37	117.00	11.29	
9	53.40	8.90	218.00	24.49	

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.64	134.89	16.23
RANGE	4.08	146.00	24.15
MAX	10.37	218.00	33.42
MIN	6.28	72.00	9.27

#### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.94	125.50	14.08
RANGE	3.50	146.00	15.22
MAX	10.37	218.00	24.49
MIN	6.87	72.00	9.27

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46			
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 4.75	MG/KG			The second second
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	_, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 27.	1972
	an de ma <del>gnasin</del> de <b>A</b> ndre <del>as de person</del> a	Bayes	openia i concernation con a concernation		and a second second
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	78 mm
1	42.60 37.00	7.10 6.17	7.00	•99	
3 4	57.60 36.70	9.60 6.12	6.00 7.00 9.00	•97 •73 1•47	
5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	65.10 39.10 48.30	10.85 6.52 8.05	8.00 11.00 9.00	.74 1.69 1.12	***
9	79.00 41.20	13.17 6.87	3.00 1.00	• 23 • 15	الميسي عداد . الاراد الاراد
	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	9 QUALS 1		Maria de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composic	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	
and the second s	RANGE MAX	8.27 7.05 13.17	6.78 10.00 11.00	.90 1.54 1.69	and a second constitution of the second constitu

COMPOUND:	FOA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46	
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIAT	= - 47.50 MG/K	The second of th		to go the major going when a c
			DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 27.	1972
e en		reserves <b>B</b> cases and			
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1	32.10	5.35	6.00	1.12	
2 3 4	46.00 42.30 31.40	7.67 7.05 5.23	13.00 5.00 4.00	1.70 .71	<b>&amp;</b> ************************************
5 6 7	54.50 59.10 43.00	9.08 9.85	8.00 7.00	•76 •88 •71	rina mala s <u>a</u> .
<del> </del>	43.70	7,17 7,28	5.00 <b>5.</b> 00	•70 •69	
NO. OF CO!	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA OUT OF RANGE E	15-1			
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	and the second s
د از این این این این این در اینکه در اینکه این این این این اینکه در اینکه	MEAN RANGE	(X 10E8) 7.34 4.62	(X 10E0) 6.63	(X 10E-8) •91	
	MAX ~ MIN	9.85 5.23	13.00 4.00	1.01 1.70 .69	
		SUMMARY WITH O	UTLIERS REMOVED		
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	ius kuu a la siinnii liiga 42
	MEAN RANGE MAX	7.29 4.62 9.85	5.71 4.00 8.00	.80 .43 1.12	
ti en esterministe e esta de destruir de la com-	MIN - LILL	5.23	4.00	.69	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVEL	L: LD5 - 475.0	MG/KG	्रत्य प्रमुख्य । स्था स्थलप्रस्तात् । स्थापन् इ.स.च्या १९८० - स्थापन्य स्थलप्रस्तात् । स्थापन्य		پورسومند کا در پارسومند در در د
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 27.	1972
ng <del>nagas</del> ng saka na na na na na	r san ar rinning a 🛕 a ar ang <del>ar ar</del>	a a a a a <b>B</b> aranga			
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1. 2	30.60 30.20	5.10 5.03	9.00	1.76	
3 4	41.20 58.10	6.87 9.68	5.00 5.00 5.00	•99 •73 •52	
6 7	83.90 37.30	13.98 6.22	8.00 5.00	•57 •80	:
8 8	60.30 56.20 31.00	10.05 9.37 5.17	5.00 9.00	•50 •96	75
	MALS EQUALS		12.00	2.32	
	OUT OF RANGE E				
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8) 7.94	COL. C (X 10E0) 7.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 1.02	
er a la l	RANGE MAX	8.95 13.98	7.00 12.00	1.83	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALI	NE (SUBACUTES)
TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE	DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 1. 1972

ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E+8	
1	31.80	5.30	7.00	1.32	*
3	57.00 68.70	9.50 11.45	<b>4.</b> 00 <b>7.</b> 00	•42 •61	n na <del>ga</del> nga
	91.40 81.40	15.23 13.57	8.00	•53	
6	83.30	13.88	<b>3.</b> 00	•22 •65	
	137.00 60.60	22.83 10.10	6.00 7.00	•26 •69	The Board of the Control of the American
	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	.# -			

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0) - (X	10E-8)	
MEAN	12.73	6.38	•59	
RANGE-	17.53	6.00	1.10	
MAX	22.83		1.32	
MIN	5.30	3.00	•22	

# \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

manuscript of the second secon	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	13.80	6,29	•48
RANGE	13.33	6.00	47
MAX	22.83	9.00	•69
MIN	9.50	3.00	.22

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 4.75 M	IG/KG			
TREATMENT	; IN VIVO+ ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 1.	197
				<b>D</b>	M MAX
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	MUTATION FRE (C/B)	•
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML		X 10E-8	
1	55.00	9.17	5.00	•55	
2	54.80	7	5.00	•55	
3 4	42.40	7.07	9.00	1.27	
5	63.20 57.10	10.53 9.52	3.00	•28	
6	38.00	6.33	9.00	•95	
7	71.70	11.95	8.00	2•05 •67	*
	32.20	5.37	6.00	1.12	
NO OF AN	711A1 ~ FALIAL ~				
NO. OF DE	IMALS EQUALS AD ANIMALS EQUA	8			
NO. OF CO	NTAMINATED EQUA	LS 1		<del> </del>	
The second secon		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN	8.63	7.25	•93	
e village e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	RANGE	6.58	10.00	1.77	
	MAX	11.95	13.00	2.05	
i si <del>tuuda</del> n oo ah oo ah oo ah ahaa ah ahaa	* MIN	5.37	3.00	•28	
		SUMMARY WITH	DUTLIERS REMOVED		
•		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	MEAN	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN RANGE	8.96	6.43	•77	
	MAX	6.58 11.95	6.00 9.00	•99	
	MTN	2.4.7J	9.00 3.00	1.27	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46			
DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIA	TE - 47.50 MG/K			
TREATMENT: IN VIVO. OR	AL, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	DECEMBER 1. 1	972
		n apparet <b>C</b> control <del>sea</del>	<u> </u>	য়া গোকা ব পাইবল্ড ই
ANIMAL RAW CFU X NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML		TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
75.40	12.57	3.00	•24	
2 50.10 3 98.50	8.35 16.42	5.00 3.00	.60 .18	e de la companya de l La companya de la co
54.10 5 30.80	9.02 5.13	7.00 6.00	.78 1.17	
6 34.00 7 47.80	5•67 7 <u>•</u> 97	7.00 10.00	1.24	
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS NO. OF DEAD ANIMALS EQ NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQ				
	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C	COL. D	
MEAN RANGE	9.30 11.28	5.86 7.00	(X 10E-8) •78 1.07	
MAX	16.42 5.13	10.00 3.00	1.26 .18	
NO OUTLIERS		· <i>,</i>		

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 475.00	MG/KG			
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	-, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 1, 1972	
	en marijan di Serie 🧥 je en de mengerepe	r ingin segundar <b>B</b> ook ordering ge		i vijim tigi inimi <b>D</b> omini — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 2 mg ma	<b>37.</b> 70 <b>59.</b> 50	6.28	5.00	•80	
3	45.80 90.10	9,92 7.63 15.02	4.00 8.00 7.00	1.05 .47	
6 6	69.00 43.80	11.50 7.30	11.00 9.00	.96 1.23	
<b>8</b>	62.50 61.30	10.42 10.22	9.00 4.00	• 86 • 39	
	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA	8 L5 2			
The state of the	e de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la co	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C	CoL. D	
	MEAN RANGE	9.79 8.73	(X 10E0) 7.13 7.00	(X 10E-8) •77 •64	
NO OUTLIER	MAX MIN RS *	15.02 6.28	11.00 4.00	1.23 .39	
	_			•	

		•	,		
COMPOU	ND: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D	<del>-</del> 3
DOSE L	EVEL: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALIN			
	ENT: IN VIVO, ORA			OCTOBER 30.	1972
and the second second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8		_	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5	
1	1123.00	1.12	14.00	12.47	
<b>2</b> 3	1300.00	1.30	2.00	1.54	•
4	1700.00 1400.00	1.70	0.	0•	
4 5 6	1100.00	1.40 1.10	2.00	1.43	
6	460.00	•46	3.00 0.	2.73 0.	
7 8	1800.00	1.80	6.00	3.33	-
. <b>8</b>	544.00	•54	0.	0.	
TOTAL		9.43	27.00		
TOTAL	ANIMALS EQUALS SCREENED OUT OF ROMEAN B =	8 Ange Equals 2.86	2		
	·	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	MEAN	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
	* RANGE	1.18	3.38	2.69	
191 9 22	MAX	1.34 1.80	14.00 14.00	12.47	
	MIN	•46	0.	12.47	
•		·			
	*	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVED		
MEAN C	MEAN B =	1.57			
		COL. B	COL. C	Cal	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ere er	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-5)	
	MEAN	1.19	1.86	1.29	
	RANGE MAX	1.34	6.00	3.33	
	MIN	1.80 .46	6.00	3.33	
	*** <b>*</b> **	• <b>**•</b>	0.	0.	

Ü

	COMPOUND	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D	<b>-</b> 3
p	DOSE LEVE	EL: POSITIVE C	ONTROL - EMS -	350 MG/KG I.M.		•
į.	TREATMENT	T: IN VIVO, OR	AL, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 30.	1972
0		<b>A</b>	B	C	<b>D</b>	4 - ***
C	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5	
C street	1 2 3	156.00 784.00 235.00	•16 •78 •24	24.00 28.00 17.00	153.85 35.71 72.34	*
	4 5 6 7	204.00 270.00 395.00 482.00	•20 •27 •39 •48	18.00 14.00 22.00 29.00	88.24 51.85 55.70 60.17	
<b></b>	TOTAL		2.53	152.00	00.17	1 1
	NO. OF CO	IIMALS EQUALS INTAMINATED EQU REENED OUT OF F	7 JALS 1 RANGE EQUALS	2		
	MEAN C/ME	AN B = 6	50.17			
ретин.		MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E5) •36 •63	COL. C (X 10E0) 21.71 15.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 73.98 118.13	
posterio		MAX	•78 •16	29.00 14.00	153.85 35.71	
Brockline			SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	)	
	MEAN C/ME	AN B = 5	54.01		es estado en la compansión de la compans	
STAP		MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .39 .58 .78	COL. C (X 10E0) 21.33 15.00 29.00 14.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 60.67 52.52 88.24 35.71	

•		NOS1 M	FOTHIED MOSWILL	REPORT SHEET		
F	COMPOUND	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D	-3
a.	DOSE LEV	EL: LOW - 4.75	M6/KG			a e ge
	TREATMEN	T; IN VIVO, OR	AL, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 30.	1972
C		<u>.</u>	and a second of the second			
L		<b>A</b>	B Total Cfu	C Total	D RECOMB/CFU	
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E-5	
<b>n</b> _	1	312.00	.31	8.00	25.64	*
	2	1550.00 642.00	1.55	4.00	2.58	
	4	1340.00	.64 1.34	5.00 4.00	7.79	
	5	583.00	•58	<b>4.00</b>	2.99 6.86	
L	6	1420.00	1.42	6.00	4.23	
	, <b>7</b> . 8	1560.00 1270.00	1.56	5.00	3.21	
3		15,000	1.27	4.00	3.15	
lg	TOTAL		8.68	40.00		
	NO. OF CO	NIMALS EQUALS ONTAMINATED EQU REENED OUT OF R	8 VALS 1 KANGE EQUALS	1.		
	MEAN C/ME	EAN B =	4.61	er in to the Sammer of the Free		 
1			COL. B	COL. C	CoL. D	
3		MERAI	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
		" MEAN RANGE	1.08	5.00	7.05	
1		MAX	1.25 1.56	4.00 8.00	23.06 25.64	
j		MIN	•31	4,00	2.58	
		•	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVED	) ·	
	MEAN C/ME	FAN R =	3.83			
	HERN CYCLE	INIT D -	3,05,	•		
4	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
		MEAN	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
]		RANGE	1.20 .98	4.57 2.00	4.40 5.21	
-		MAX				
		MIN	1.56	6.00	7.79	

COMPOUND	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3				
DOSE LEV	EL: INTERMEDIAT	E - 47.50 MG/	KG	e e e			
TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO. ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	OCTOBER 30, 1972			
ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	460.00 529.00 1470.00 572.00 1160.00 1860.00 1170.00 1300.00	.46 .53 1.47 .57 1.16 1.86 1.17 1.30 1.13	10.00 8.00 16.00 5.00 14.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	21.74 15.12 10.88 8.74 12.07 1.61 3.42 3.08 2.65			
NO. OF AN	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF R	9	1				
MEAN C/ME	AN B =	6.94					
	- MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E5) 1.07	COL. C (X 10E0) 7.44	CoL. D (X 10E-5) 8.81			

« MEAN Range	COL. B (X 10E5) 1.07 1.40	COL. C (X 10E0) 7.44 13.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 8.81 20.13
MAX MIN O OUTLIERS	1.86 .46	16.00 3.00	21.74 1.61

COMPOUND	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROMYCES
DOSE LEV	EL: LD5 - 475.0	O MG/KG		
TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO, ORA	L, ACUTE	DATE STARTED	OCTOBER 30
	<b>A</b>	B TOTAL CFU	C TOTAL	D RECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E-5
1	1240.00	1.24	7.00	5.65
2 ′	1780.00	1.78	8.00	4.49
3	747.00	•75	15.00	20.08
<b>4</b> <b>5</b>	900.00 840.00	•90 •84	3.00	3.33
5 6	1070.00	1.07	16.00 22.00	19.05 20.56
	1930.00	1.93	7.00	3.63
. <b>7</b> .	410.00	•41	14.00	34.15
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF R	8.92 8 ANGE EQUALS	92.00	
	REENED OUT OF R	<b>8</b> .	92.00	
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B	cor. c	COL. D
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1 MEAN	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50	(X 10E-5 13.87
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1 MEAN RANGE	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1 MEAN	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50	(X 10E-5
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52 1.93 .41	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00 22.00 3.00	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81 34.15 3.33
NO. OF A	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32 COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52 1.93 .41	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00 22.00	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81 34.15 3.33
NO. OF AI TOTAL SCI MEAN C/MI	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	8 ANGE EQUALS 0.32  COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52 1.93 .41  SUMMARY WITH	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00 22.00 3.00	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81 34.15 3.33
NO. OF AI TOTAL SCI MEAN C/MI	REENED OUT OF REAN B = 1  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	8 ANGE EQUALS  0.32  COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52 1.93 .41  SUMMARY WITH  9.17  COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00 22.00 3.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81 34.15 3.33 ED COL. D
NO. OF AI TOTAL SCI MEAN C/MI	REENED OUT OF R EAN B = 1  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	8 ANGE EQUALS  0.32  COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52 1.93 .41  SUMMARY WITH  9.17  COL. B (X 10E5) 1.22	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00 22.00 3.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 11.14	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81 34.15 3.33 ED CoL. D (X 10E-5 10.97
NO. OF AI TOTAL SCI MEAN C/MI	REENED OUT OF REAN B = 1  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	8 ANGE EQUALS  0.32  COL. B (X 10E5) 1.11 1.52 1.93 .41  SUMMARY WITH  9.17  COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.50 19.00 22.00 3.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5 13.87 30.81 34.15 3.33 ED COL. D

	FDA 71-51			CHAROMYCES D-3	
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE	E (SUBACUTES)		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 24.	197
	A	В	C	D	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E+5	
1	486.00	•49	3.00	6.17	
2 -	557.00	•56	4.00	7.18	
3	806.00	-81	0.	0.	
4	333.00	•33	5.00	• i	*
5 6	427.00	•43	2.00	4.68	•
6 -	307.00	.31	1.00	3.26	
<b>.7</b> .	722.00	.72	3.00	4.16	
8	814.00	.81	4.00	4.91	
TOTAL		4.45	22.00		
NO. OF AN	IIMALS EQUALS	8			
	IMALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF R		2		
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS		CoL. D	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS 4,94 COL. B	cor. c	COL. D (X 10E=5)	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS 4,94  COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R AN B = MEAN	ANGE EQUALS 4.94  COL. B (X 10E5) .56	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75	(X 10E-5) 5.67	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R AN B =  MEAN RANGE	ANGE EQUALS 4,94 COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R AN B = MEAN	ANGE EQUALS 4.94  COL. B (X 10E5) .56	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75	(X 10E-5) 5.67	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R AN B =  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	ANGE EQUALS 4,94  COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	ANGE EQUALS 4,94  COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31  SUMMARY WITH	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00 0.	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31  SUMMARY WITH 4.13  COL. B	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00 0.  OUTLIERS REMOVED	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02 0.	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31  SUMMARY WITH 4.13  COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00 0.  COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02 0. CoL. D (X 10E-5)	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN  *  MEAN	COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31  SUMMARY WITH 4.13  COL. B (X 10E5) .59	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00 0.  COL. C (X 10E0) 2.43	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02 0. CoL. D (X 10E-5) 4.34	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .56 .51 .81 .31  SUMMARY WITH 4.13  COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75 5.00 5.00 0.  COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5) 5.67 15.02 15.02 0. CoL. D (X 10E-5)	

Coldin OOMD	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROMYCES D-3	5
DOSE LEV	EL: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - EMS -	350 MG/KG IM (	SUBACUTES)	
	T: IN VIVO, ORA		•	NOVEMBER 24,	197
	A	<b>B</b> ,	С	מ	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5	
1 2 3	914.00	•91	32.00	35.01	
2 -	<b>595.</b> 00	•59	28.00	47.06	
.3	411.00	•41	40.00	0	٠.
4	910.00	.91	39.00	42.86	*
5 6	364.00	• 36	27.00	74.18	
· <u>6</u>	542.00	•54	20.00	36.90	
.7.	521.00	•52	35.00	67.18	
TOTAL	•	4.26	221.00	•	
		7			
TOTAL SCR	IMALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF R		<b>3</b>		•
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS		Col . n	•
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS  1.91  COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	COL. B	COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	•
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF R	COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57	(X 10E-5) 57.22	•
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. B (X 10E5) .61	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31	•
TOTAL SCR	EENED OUT OF RAME S.  MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57	(X 10E-5) 57.22	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00 20.00	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91 .36  SUMMARY WITH	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00 20.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN  * AN B = 47	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91 .36  SUMMARY WITH (,06)  COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00 20.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01 D	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN  MEAN  MEAN MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91 .36  SUMMARY WITH 7.06  COL. B (X 10E5) .64	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00 20.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE (X 10E0) 30.17	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01 D (X 10E-5) 50.53	
TOTAL SCR MEAN C/ME	MEAN RANGE  MEAN RANGE  MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91 .36  SUMMARY WITH (.06  COL. B (X 10E5) .64 .55	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00 20.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE (X 10E0) 30.17 19.00	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01 D (X 10E-5) 50.53 39.16	
TOTAL SCR	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN  MEAN  MEAN MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .61 .55 .91 .36  SUMMARY WITH 7.06  COL. B (X 10E5) .64	COL. C (X 10E0) 31.57 20.00 40.00 20.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE (X 10E0) 30.17	(X 10E-5) 57.22 62.31 97.32 35.01 D (X 10E-5) 50.53	

			-bantp noont	Shell Will Of Galact		
<b>7</b> .	COMPOUND	FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3	3
<b>,</b>	DOSE LEVE	EL: LOW - 4.75	MG/KG			
, <b>J</b> in	TREATMENT	T: IN VIVO, OR	AL, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 24.	1972
3.			•			
		A	В,	C	D	
<b>.</b>	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5	
<b>3</b>	1 2	554.00	•55	4.00	7.22	
ŗ		1360.00 728.00	1.36	9.00	6.62	
	3 4 5 6 7 8	870.00	•73 •87	4.00 5.00	5•49 5•75	
1	5	385.00	• 38	0.	0.	
ŕ	<u>6</u>	1950.00	1.95	6.00	3.08	
	7.	924.00	•92	7.00	7.58	
<b>%</b>	•	911.00	•91	10.00	10.98	*
	TOTAL		7.68	45.00		
<b>9</b>	NO. OF AN TOTAL SCR	IMALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF F	8 RANGE EQUALS	2		•
<b>L</b>	MEAN C/ME	AN B =	5.86			
i -			COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
<b>L</b> .			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
		MEAN	• 96	5.63	5.84	
		RANGE	1.57	10.00	10.98	
<b>L</b>		MAX MIN	1.95 .38	10.00	10.98	
		riejv.	+35	0.	0.	
	en e			,	÷	
L		*	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVED	), ·	4.1
	MEAN C/ME	AN B =	5,17	<ul> <li>Control of the control of the control</li></ul>		
<b>.</b>			601 · D			
	Marin 1		COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D	
		MEAN	•97	5.00	(X 10E-5) 5.10	
<b>&gt;</b> ,		RANGE	1.57	9.00	7.58	
	entra de la compansión de	MAX	1.95	9.00	7.58	
rae'		MIN	•38	0.	0•	
OP					• •	

P	COMPOUND	: FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROMYCES D-3	
a	DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 47.5 MG/K			<b>(6</b>		
1	TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO, ORA	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	NOVEMBER 24, 1	972
P		<b>A</b> .	B Total Cfu	C TOTAL	D RECOMB/CFU	•
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E-5	
P	1 2 3	488.00 308.00 507.00	•49 •31 •51	5.00 1.00 2.00	10.25 3.25 3.94	
f	5 6 7	387.00 355.00 766.00	•39 •35 •77	0. 2.00 4.00	0. 5.63 5.22	
P	7. 8 9	622.00 496.00 625.00	•62 •50 •62	6.00 12.00 14.00	9.65 24.19 22.40	
D.	TOTAL		4.55	46.00		
	NO. OF AN	NIMALS EQUALS ONTAMINATED EQU	9 ALS 1			
	MEAN C/ME	AN B = 1	0.10			
	•	* MEAN	COL. B (X 10E5) .51	COL. C (X 10E0) 5.11	COL. D (X 10E-5) 9.39	
<b>.</b>	NO OUTLIE	RANGE MAX MIN ERS	•46 •77 •31	14.00 14.00 0.	24.19 24.19 0.	

			Jei Ald Sujerel		
COMPOUND:	FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-	3
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 475.	00 MG/KG			
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, OF	RAL, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 24.	1972
•	# 1 4 <b>2</b>				, ,
1	A	B TOTAL CFU	C TOTAL	D RECOMB/CFU	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E-5	
 1	462.00	•46	10.00	21.65	*
2 3 4 5	740.00	•74	7.00	9.46	
J L	512.00 610.00	•51	0.	0 •	
5	526.00	•61 •53	6.00 6.00	9.84	
6	367.00	•37	2.00	11.41 5.45	
7	814.00	.81	5.00	5.45 6.14	
TOTAL		4.03	36.00	•	
		7 RANGE EQUALS 8.93	3 · · · · ·		
MENTAL OF ME	W <b>D</b> , <del>D</del>	0,00		· •	
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
 	MEAN	•58	5.14	9.13	
	RANGE	• 45	10.00	21.65	
	" MAX MIN	.81	10.00	21.65	
	MAN	•37	0•	0•	•
		* SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVED	)	
MEAN C/MEA	NB=	7.28			
		COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C	COL. D	
	MEAN	·59	(X 10E0) 4.33	(X 10E-5)	
• *	RANGE	•45	7.00	7.05 11.41	
	MAX		7.00	11.41	
	MIN	•81 •37	0.	44T# .	

#### 3. Toxicity Data - Test II

#### a. Acute

Compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, was prepared by adding 5000 mg/kg of body weight to a pellet of feed and allowing a group of six male rats to eat it as a single dose. The average body weight of the six rats was 208 grams.

No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior was observed in the 7-day observation period. No deaths occurred. At termination all animals were killed and on necropsy no gross findings were observed.

The acute oral LD $_{50}$  in rats for compound FDA 71-51 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg.

#### b. Subacute

Compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, was incorporated in a small quantity of food as in the acute study. The test substance was administered to a group of ten male rats (average body weight 176 grams), daily for five days at a dosage of 5000 mg/kg. No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior were observed in the five-day period of compound administration or in the observation period which followed. The total period of observation was 14 days when the animals were terminated and gross necropsies performed. No abnormal gross findings were observed. The 14-day subacute oral LD $_{50}$  for compound FDA 71-51 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg.

CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-51
METHOCEL
TEST II



# TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-51

Solvent:

None

Dosage Form:

Food pellets

Animals:

Acute - Male rats with an average body weight of 208 grams.

All animals were observed for seven days.

Subacute - Male rats with an average body weight of 176 grams.

All animals were observed for 14 days.

Oral Study:

Acute - No deaths (0/6) following a single oral dose and 7-

day observation period. No signs of toxicity and no

gross necropsy findings. The acute oral  ${\rm LD}_{50}$  is

greater than 5000 mg/kg.

Subacute - No deaths (0/10) following daily dose for five

days and a total of 14 days observation period. No

signs of toxicity and no gross necropsy findings. The

five-day subacute oral  $LD_{50}$  is greater than 5000 mg/kg.

4. Host-Mediated Assay - Test II

Compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, was not genetically active in any of the assays at a dose level of 5000 mg/kg.

David Brusick

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST II



HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

# SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND:	FDA	71-51
-----------	-----	-------

	TA153	SALMO 30	ONELLA G-46	; 5	SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE NC PC AL AI AH	3.47 73.93 0. 0. 3.69	21.31 0. 0. 1.06	1.19 113.11 0. / 0.	95.05 0. 0. .71	11.40 85.29 0. 0. 18.27	7.48 0. 0.
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SH	1.00 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	1.00 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	1.00 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.
IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVAL		
NC PC			- CONC	* SURVIVAL	R X 10E	•

2

STOP SRU'S:.4

## HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

## SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51	COMP	OUND	: FDA	71-51
---------------------	------	------	-------	-------

	1- 2-	SALMO	NELLA		SACCHAROMY	CEC D-2
	TA153		G-46		SACCIARONI	CE3 D-2
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE						
NC PC AL AI AH	2.04 69.00 0. 0.	33.82 0. 0.	1.38 306.21 0. 0.	221.89 0. 0. 0.	10.34 • 99.56 0. 0.	9.63 0. 0.
SUBACUTE						•
NC SL SI	2.04 0. 0. 2.76	0. 0. 1.35	1.38 0. 0. .82	0. 0. •59	10.34 0. 0. 11.63	0. 0. 1.12
IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVA	L R X 10E	5
NC PC			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

G

STOP SRU'S:.4 CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST II



•	~	Α.	42	•	11	AIR	-		- •	1:65	•
				-	H.	91.		7.5			

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL! NEGATIVE CONTROL! - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO+ ORAL+ ACUTE

DATE STARTED! MARCH 6. 1974

•	<b>A</b>	450	:C	D
ANIMAL:	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFUEX	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	MUTATION FRE (C/B)
HUMBER	TATIANGUL	TOEBY TO AWE	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
3	46.50	7775	22.00	2.84
:2:	43.00	7.17	27.00	3.77
7. fr <b>:3</b>	58.60	9,77	23.00	2.35
•	37.20	6.20	23.00	3.71
· <b>5</b>	41.70	6.95	26.00	3.74
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	44.90	7.48	15.00	2.00
<b>'7</b>	38.50	6.42	28.00	4.36
8	69.80	11.63	13.00	1112
	62.80	10.47	28.00	2.68
10	31.90	5,32	43.00	8.09
. 😜				

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS: 10

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	COL. B	COLL C	COL. D
erre de la companya d	(X 10E8)	(X:10E0):	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.92	24,80	3.47
RANGE	6.32	30.00	6.97
MAX	11.63	43.00	8.09
MIN	5,32	13.00	1.12

#### RESUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

arene alemania (M. Mariella). Ar	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	((X)10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.20	22.78	2.95
RANGE	TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL 5 48 1 4 1 4 1 4	15.00	3.25
MAX	11.63	28.00	4.36
MIN	6.20	13.00	1112
4 1 1	And the second s		

COMPOUND:	FDA	71-51	ORGANISM:	SALMONELLA	TA1535
	, -,-	T 🖚 👐 🚣	O O O MITTONIA	SMERVICELLA	14177

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 6. 1974

e ere e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			<b>.C</b>	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAN CFU X	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	50.30 73.10 52.10 37.90 50.90 34.90 42.60 39.20	8.38 12.18 8.68 6.32 8.48 5.82 7.10 6.53 5.97	1050.00 508.00 364.00 553.00 417.00 997.00 372.00 303.00 296.00	125.25 41.70 41.92 87.54 49.15 171.40 52.39 46.38 49.61
	NIMALS EQUALS  ONTAMINATED EQUAL  MEAN	COL. 3	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D
	RANGE MAX MIN	7.72 6.37 12.18 5.82	540.00 754.00 1050.00 296.00	73.93 129.71 171.40 41.70

# \* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

	COL. A	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	7.96	482.88	61.74
RANGE	6.22	754.00	83.55
MAX	12.18	1050.00	125.25
	5.97	296.00	41.70

COMPOUNDY FDA 71-51		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530		
DOSE LEVE	L: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MARCH 6, 1974
			C:	D
NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. Mutants X 10e0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	47.60 67.20	7.93	45.00	5.67
3	69.00	11.20	29.00 25.00	2.59 2.17
4:	37.70	6.28	27.00	4.30
15.	66.50	11.08	34.00	3.07
,6 ,7	40.30	6.72	27.00	4.02
	67.30 57.70	11.22 9.62	27.00	2.41
: <b>9</b> :	46.00	7.67	45.00 33.00	4.68 4.30
	IMALS EQUALS	9		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
NO. OF DE	AD ANIMALS EQU	ALS 1		
		COL. 9	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN	(X 10E8) 9.25	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	RANGE	5.22	32.44 20.00	3.69
	MAX	11.50	45.00	3.50 5.67

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 29, 1974

Alteria de la como de la Como.	atini ni masa asab in en 🔏 kandan sasah in	8.	Photograph Constanting	0
			TOTAL NO.	MOTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	54.80	9.13	13.00	1.42
****** <b>2</b> ******	74.50	12.42	18.00	1.45
3	31.30	5.22	16.00	3.07
4	53.80	8.97	8.00	.89
<b>5</b>	42.80 mm	ggygggaa <b>7.13</b> ggaag	14144 <b>27 - 00</b> - 14-14	3.78
6	31.90	5.32	13.00	2.45
7	65.00	10.83	20.00	1.85
8	51.70	8.62	10.00	1.16
9	57.80	9.63	20.00	2.08
10	67.80	11.30	26.00	2.30

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

	C	ル・ さ	COL. C	COL. D
	(X	10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN		8.86	17.10	2.04
RANGE		7.20	or osci <b>19.</b> 00 es.	2.89
MAX		2.42	27.00	3.78
MIN		5.22	8.00	•89

NO OUTLIERS

\_RU . S: . 6

SWITCH INS: SL252

CAI

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. DRAL. ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 29, 1974

	telok kangsa da and telok telok ada ekona a A	eng, enger aggalar eng programen ang balaka is ka <b>B</b> e	C	D
			TOTAL NO.	MOTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	34.60	5.77	317.00	54.97
2	57.10	9.52	1062.00	111.59
3	48.30	8.05	494.00	61.37
4	32.80	5.47	518.00	94.75
5	54.50	S. (2004) 9.08 (1902)	658.00	72.44
6	50.10	8.35	207.00	24.79
7	49.50	8.25	349.00	42.30
8	37.60	6.27	562.00	89.68
9	49.20	8.20	390.00	47.56
10	45.80	7.63	691.00	90.52

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

	COL. H	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
MEAN	7.66	524.80	69.00	
RANGE	4.05	855.00	86.80	
MAX	9.52	1062.00	111.59	
MIN	5.47	207.00	24.79	

NO CUTLIERS

RU15:.6

SWITCH INS: SE251

CAL

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 29, 1974

	<b>A</b>	- <b>8</b>	TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
	66.30	11.05	22 00	, ,,
	34.50	5.75	22.00 15.00	1.99 2.61
3	96.20	16.03	14.00	.87
4	62.30	10.38	24.00	2.31
5	37.50	6.25	53.00	3.68
<b>7</b>	32.50	5.42	21.00	3.88
	39.40	6.57	26.00	3.96

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E6)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.78	20.71	2.76
RANGE	10.62	12.00	3.09
MAX	16.03	26.00	3.96
MIN	5.42	14.00	887 <b>. 87</b>

NO OUTLIERS

STOP SRUIS:.5

SWITCH INS:SL255

SAL

COMPOUNDT FDA 71-51

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL! - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

mentan ing panganan nagarah dalam dalam Tanggaran dalam	Α	8 . · ·	**************************************	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	_RAW_CFU_X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	53.10	8.85	13.00	1.47
2	46.60	7.77	12.00	1.55
3	61.00	10.17	13.00	1.28
•	36.50	6.08	7.00	1.15
5	50.30	8.38	11.00	1.31
6	61.90	10.32	10.00	.97
7	57.10	9.52	6.00	.63

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 3

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.73	10.29	1.19
PANGE	4.23	7.00	. 91
MAX	10.32	13.00	1.55
MIN	6.08	6.00	•63

#### SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. P (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D
MEAN:	8.59	11.00	1.29
RANGE	4.23	6.00	-58
MAX	10.32	13.00	1.55
MIN	6∙08	7.00	.97

Top

COMPOUNDT FDA 71-51

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	7 - 7 - 7 - <b>A</b> - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -	<u> </u>	: C)	D
14124111	Sall amit W		TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL:	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
ĭ	80.20	13.37	869.00	65.01
<b>:2</b> .	67.70	11.28	1281.00	113.53
₹ <b>3</b> °	128.10	21.35	1209.00	56.63
4	69.80	11.63	1296.00	111.40
5	60.10	10.02	1632.00	162.93
6	56.20	9.37	909.00	97.04
7	68.50	11.42	1129.00	98.89
8	58.00	9.67	2107.00	217.96
9	69,60	11.60	1097.00	94,57

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9

the second secon	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.19	1281.00	113.11
RANGE	11.98	1238.00	161.33
MAX	21.35	2107.00	217.96
MIN	9.37	869.00	56.63

## \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

. ***	COL. B	COL'. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.50	1177.75	100.00
RANGE	11.98	763.00	106.30
MAX	21.35	1632.00	162.93
MIN	9.37	869.00	56.63

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

COMPOUND' FDA 71-51

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ALE CONTRACTOR	<b>B</b>	C	D	
NIMAL IUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10ES/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1	144.20 119.00	24.03	22.00	.92	
3	107.30	19.83 17.88	15.00 19.00	.76 1.06	
4	253.10	42.18	9.00	.21	
(5) (6) (7)	109.20	18.20	19.00	1.04	
· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	160.40 86.20	26.73 14.37	9.00	.34	
81,111	102.80	17.13	18.00 11.00	.1.25 .64	
9	107.60	17.93	33.00	1.84	. #
10 D. OF ANI	142.20	23.70 10 COL. 8	11.00 COL. C	.46	•
	142.20	23.70 10	11.00	. 46	•
	142.20 MALS EQUALS  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	23.70 10 COL. 8 (X 10E8) 22.20 27.82 42.18 14.37	COL. C (X 10E0) 16.60 24.00 33.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .85 1.63 1.84	•
	142.20 MALS EQUALS  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	23.70 10 COL. 8 (X 10E8) 22.20 27.82 42.18 14.37 SUMMARY WITH O	11.00  COL. C (X_10E0) 16.60 24.00 33.00 9.00  UTLIERS REMOVED	COL. D (X 10E-8) .85 1.63 1.84 .21	·
	142.20 MALS EQUALS  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	23.70 10 COL. 9 (X 10E8) 22.20 27.82 42.18 14.37 SUMMARY WITH O	COL. C (X 10E0) 16.60 24.00 33.00 9.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .85 1.63 1.84 .21  COL. D (X 10E-8)	
	142.20 MALS EQUALS  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	23.70 10 COL. 8 (X 10E8) 22.20 27.82 42.18 14.37 SUMMARY WITH O	COL. C (X 10E0) 16.60 24.00 33.00 9.00 UTLIERS REMOVED	COL. D (X 10E-8) .85 1.63 1.84 .21	•

COMPOUNDE FUR (195	FDA 71-51	POUND	COMP	1
--------------------	-----------	-------	------	---

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 18, 1974

F *		<b>B</b>	C	D
		•	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	UTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	32.10	5.35	9.00	1.68
2	53.20	8.87	22.00	2.48
3	115,60	19.27	7.00	.36
4	40.20	6.70	7.00	1.04
<b>5</b>	45.20	7.53	11.00	1.46
6	39.40	6.57	13.00	1.98
7	<b>39.</b> 30	6.55	8.00	1.22
. 8	50.10	8.35	7.00	.84

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

للمائمة للمولمة بالمحاجم للواحد أرار المعدارة المراجد الجراء فالمحارف الراجاء	COL. 9	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 1058)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN		10.50	1.38
A RANGE	13.92	15.00	2.12
MAX	19.27	22.00	2.48
NO OUTLIERS	5•35	7.00	.36

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 18, 1974

	Ą	В	C	<b>D</b>
	THE PART THE PART OF THE PART	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
				7. 202 3
1	42.40	7.07	4376.00	619.23
		5 • 0 <b>3</b> ° .	1611.00	320.06
3	46.30	7.72	2907.00	375.71
4	36.20	6.03	2073.00	343,58
5	40.70	6.78	1404.00	206.97
6	46.30	7.72	1364.00	176.76
7	36.60	6.10	1376.00	225.57
. 8	53,20		1968.00	221,95
9	45.50	7.58	2270.00	299.33
10	39.40	6.57	1786.00	271.97
NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS	10		
to the second second second second	See confinence to the see seed of	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	6.95	2113.50	306.21
The Paris Carrier	MANGE I S	3.83	3012.00	442.48
	MAX	8.87	4375.00	619.23
	MIN.	5.03	1364.00	176.76
		SUMMARY WITH OL	JT IERS REMOVED	
The second of th	in the second second of the se	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
÷		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
The second secon	MEAN	6.93	1862.11	271.43
	RANGE	3.83	1543.00	199.95
	MAX	8.87	2907.00	376.71
	III MIN		1364.00	176.76

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUARY 18, 1974

		<b>.</b>	С	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 105-8
1	<b>40.</b> 80 <b>25.</b> 80	6.80 4.30	9.00 6.00	1.32 1.40
3 4	74.80 64.70	12.47 10.78	3.00 8.00	.24
5 6	121.20 81.80	20.20 13.63	14.00 12.00	.6° .88
7	109.00	18.17	9.00	•50
	IMALS EQUALS	7		
	AD ANIMALS EQUA NTAMINATED EQUA			- 1
TOTAL CFU	OUT OF RANGE	EQUALS 1		

	يسو بالسوع وسواليه معاصات أأحدث بربعا العوج	COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	12.34	8.71	.82
theleschild inglic	RANGE	15.90	11.00	1.15
	MAX	20.20	14.00	1.40
	MIN .	4.30	3.00	.24
OUTLIERS				• • •

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 13, 1974

ANI MAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5	
1	519.00	•52	10.00	19.27	×
2	762.00	.76	7.00	9.19	the desire of the second second second
3	815.00	.81	11.00	13.50	
4	786.00	•79	11.00	13.99	
5	965.00	.96	9.00	9.33	
6	580.00	•58	9.00	15.52	
7	743.00	.74	6.00	8.08	
8	827.00	.83	8.00	9.67	
9	890.00	. 89	7.00	7.87	1
10	392.00	• 39	5.00	12.76	i Saarahaan da <u>abaa d</u>
TOTAL		7.28	83.00		

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

MEAN C/MEAN B = 11.40

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	
MEAN	•73	8.30	11.92	
RANGE	•57	6.00	11.40	
MAX	.96	11.00	19.27	
MIN	•39	5.00	7.87	

#### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

MEAN C/MEAN B = 10.80

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
MEAN	•75	8.11	11.10
RANGE	•57	6.00	7.65
_MAX	. 96	11.00	15.52
MIN	• 39	5.00	7.87

STOP RU'S: 7

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - EMS - 35 MG/KG I.M.

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: MARC: 13, 1974

	6	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10ES/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	RECOMB. CFU SCHEENED X
1 2	794.00	.79	107.00	134.76
	699.00	.70	66.00	94.42
3	635.00	•63	75.00	118.11
4	811.00	•81	47.00	57.95
5	804.00	•ã0	51.00	63.43
6	736.00	.74	74.00	100.54
7	756.00	.76	63.00	83.33
8	617.00	.52	23.00	87.28
10	1136.00	1117	77.00	67.78
	879.00	88	68.00	100.11
TOTAL		7.87	571.00	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

MEAN CYMEAN B = 65.29

· · · · ·	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10ES)	(Ž 10£0)	(X 105-5)
MEAN	· 79	67.1	8 .77
RANGE	.52	84.00	97.48
MAX	1.14	107.00	134.75
IN	\$6.	23.00	37.28

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND: FDA 71-51

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: MARCH 13: 1974

ANIMAL Number	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 21.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X
1	1182.00	1.13	29.00	24.53
2 2	1939.00	1.94	27.00	13.92
3	741.00	.74	22.00	29.69
4	1099.00	1.10	23.00	20.93
5	995.00	99	15.00	15.08
:6	1643.00	1.64	17.00	10.35
7	1160.00	1.16	24.00	20.69
**************************************	720.00	.72	13.00	18.05
9	1141.00	1.14	24.00	21.03
TOTAL		10.62	194.00	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS

MEAN CYMEAN B = 18,27

COL. ⊖ (X 10E5)		COL. C (X 10ED)	COL. D (X 10E-5)
MEAN	i.18	21.56	19.36
RANGE	1.22	16.00	19.34
MAX	1.94	29.00	29.69
MIN	•72	13.00	10.35

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

COMPOUND : FDA 71-51 \* ORGANISM : SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL : NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED : 7/15/74 C TOTAL CFU TOTAL. RECOMB/CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 1979.00 1.98 26.00 13.14 2 1612.00 1.61 16.00 9.93 3 1768.00 1.77 14.00 7.92 1558.00 1.56 17.00 10.91 5 1574.00 1.57 11.00 6.99 1547.00 1.55 15.00 9.70 1567.00 1.57 21.00 13.40 8 1726.00 1.73 \_\_17.00 9.85 1248.00 1.25 9.00 7.21 10 1477.00 1.48 20.00 \_\_\_13.54\_\_\_ NO. DE ANIMALS EQUALS 10 NO. OF DEAD EQUALS O NO. DE CONTAMINATED EQUALS Q NO. OF CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS O COL. B COL. C COL. D \_(X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) · MEAN 1.61 16.60 10.26 RANGE 0.73 17.00 6.55 MAX 1.98 26.00 MIN 1.25 9.00 MEAN C/MEAN B = 10.34 NQ\_QUTLIERS

COMPOUND : FDA 71-51 ORGANISM : SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL : POSITIVE CONTROL - EMS-350 MG/KG TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: 7/15/74 C D TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB/CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 433.00 0.43 114.00 263.28 985.00 0.98 159.00 161.42 1172.00 1.17 103.00 87.88 1193.00 1.19 150.00 125.73 1256.00 1.26 70.00 55.73 1385.00 1.38 74.00 53.43 563.00 0.56 86.00 152.75 1621.00 1.62 101.00 62.31 2 ANIMALS NOT ACCOUNTED FOR. VALUES COMPUTED FOR 8 ANIMALS NO. OF DEAD EQUALS O NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 2 NO. OF CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS O COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) MEAN 1.08 107.13 120.32 RANGE 1.19 89.00 209.85 MAX 1.62 159.00 263.28 MIN 0.43 70.00 MEAN C/MEAN B = 99.56 \* SUMMARY WITH DUTLIERS REMOVED COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) MEAN. 1.17 106.14 99.89 RANGE 1.06 89.00 107.99 MAX 1.62 159.00 161.42 MIN 0.56 70.00 53.43 MEAN C/MEAN B = 90.89

#### HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND : FDA 71-51 \* ORGANISM : SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL : HIGH - 5000. MG/KG TREATMENT : IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED : 7/15/74 B C D TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB/CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 1 832.00 0.83 16.00 19.23 2 . 729.00 0.73 11.00 15.09 681.00 0.68 18.00 26.43 2773.00 2.77 23.00 8.29 5 512.00 0.51 6.00 11.72 1737.00 1.74 2.00 1.15 1940.00 1.94 21.00 10.82 8 920.00 0.92 23.00 25.00 9 1539.00 1.54 17.00 11.05 10 1234.00 13.00 10.53 1.23 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 NO. OF DEAD EQUALS 0 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS O NO. OF CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS O COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) MEAN 1.29 15.00 13.93 RANGE 2.26 21.00 25.28 MAX 2.77 23.00 26.43 MIN 0.51 2.00 MEAN C/MEAN B = 11.63 NO OUTLIERS

# 5. Cytogenetics - Test I

# a. In vivo

# (1) Acute study

There were no aberrations observed in the negative control group or in the test compound dosage level groups. A depression of the mitotic indices was observed in all three dosage level groups of the test compound at 48 hours. The expected severe chromosomal damage due to the positive control compound was observed.

# (2) Subacute study

No aberrations were observed in the negative control group or in the three dosage level groups of the test compound. There was a marked depression of the mitotic indices of all three dosage level groups of the test compound. This was especially noticed in the  $LD_5$  dosage level.

# b. <u>In vitro</u>

The negative control group contained 2 cells with bridges, one of which contained a fragment. The low, medium and high levels of the test compound all contained 2% of the cells with bridges. The positive control group was within normal limits for the positive control compound effect.

C. CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST I



METHOCEL FDA 71-51 ACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	Time*	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	Saline	6	3	150	6	0	0	0	0.
		24	3	150	8	. 0	0	0	0
		48	3	150	6	0	0	. 0	0
Low Level	4.75	6	5	250	5	0	0	0	<b>0</b> *
		24	5	250	ő	ŏ	Ŏ	0	0
		48	5	206	2	0	0	0	Ü
		70	3	200	٤ .	· U	0	0	U
Intermediate Level	47.5	6	5	250	4	Ω	. 0	0	n
		24	5	250	À	ň	Ŏ	ň	ň
		48	5	157	ż	Õ		ŏ	Ŏ
			•	107		U	U	U	U
High Level	475	6	5	250	5	0	0	n	n
		24	5	250	4	ň	ň	ň	ň
		48	5	87	ż	Õ	Ŏ	Ď	Ŏ
				0,	-	U ,	U		U
Positive Control TEM	0.3	48	5	232	4	3	21	14(a),7.3(f), 1.3(pp)	35.3

Time of kill after injection (hours).

Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.

## METHOCEL FDA 71-51 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage <del>(mg/kg)*</del>	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with <u>Reunion</u>	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with <u>Aber.</u>
Negative Control	Saline	3	150	4	0	0	0	0
Low Level	4.75	5	250	3	0	0	0	0
Intermediate Level	47.5	5	250	2	0	0 .	0	0
High Level	475	5	47	2	0 .	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> Dosage 1X/day X 5 days.

\*\* Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

\*\*\* Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

METHOCEL FDA 71-51 ANAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mcg/ml)	Mitotic Index**	No. of <u>Cells</u>	% Cells with Acentric Frag.	% Cells with Bridges	% Multipolar Cells	% Cells Other Aber.*	% Cells with Aber.
Compound	(mos/mi)							
Low Level	8.0	1	100	0	2	0	0	2
Medium Level	80.0	1	100	0	2	0	0.	2.
High Level	800	,1	100	0	2	0	0	2
Negative Control	Saline	1	100	1	2	0	0	2
Positive Control (TEM)	0.1	1	100	3	12	0,	0	15

Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

Percent of cells in mitosis: 200 cells observed/dose level.

Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.

#### 6. Cytogenetics - Test II

compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, was administered to male rats with an average body weight of 300-350 grams. In the acute study (single dose) and in the subacute study (five doses) a dose of 5000 mg/kg was employed. Metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from the bone marrow cells of these animals and scored for chromosomal aberrations.

Neither the variety nor the number of these aberrations differed significantly from the negative controls; hence, compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, can be considered non-mutagenic as measured by the cytogenetic test.



CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

METHOCEL

TEST II



### METHOCEL FDA 71-51 **ACUTE STUDY** METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST II

Compound	Dosage <u>(mg/kg)</u>	Time*	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %++	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells With Other Aberrations**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	6 hrs. 24 hrs. 48 hrs.	5 5 5	250 <b>220</b> 250	5.90 3.45 4.40	0 0 0	1(0.4) 2(0.9) 0	0 0 0	1(0.4) 2(0.9) 0
Negative Control	Food Pellet	6 hrs. 24 hrs. 48 hrs.	3 3 3	1 <b>5</b> 0 150 150	8.06 9.40 8.13	0 0	0 0 1(0.66)	0 0 0	0 0 1(0.66)
Positive Control TEM	0.3	24 hrs.	5	250	4.65	14(5.6)	34(13.6)	>12(4.8) 7f(2.8) 1pp(0.4) 1pu(0.4)	58(23.2)

<sup>\*</sup> Time of kill after dosing.
\*\* Numbers in ( ) are percent aberrations per total cells counted.
+ Symbols: > = greater than 10 aberrations per cell; f = fragments; pp = polyploid; and pu = pulverization.
++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

# METHOCEL FDA 71-51 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST II

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %++	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells w/ Other Aber.**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	5	250	4.06	0	3(1.2)	0	3(1.2)
Negative Control	Food Pellet	3	150	5.60	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*\*</sup> Numbers in ( ) are percent aberrations per total cells counted. ++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

# 7. Dominant Lethal Study - Test I

a. Acute study

Significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups were shown in a few instances, but no strong indications of change were seen.

b. Subacute study

Significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups were shown in a few instances, but no strong indications of change were seen.

# c. DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

**METHOCEL** 

TEST I

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITI VE CONTROL
		1	95/139=0.69	14/20=0, $70$	12/20=0.60	9/20=0.45	10/20=0.50	14/20=0.70
		2	103/139=0.75	16/20=0.80	16/20=0.80	11/19=0.58	12/20=0.60	15/20=0.75
	. •	3	104/138=0.76	15/20=0.75	14/20=0.70	14/19=0.74	14/20=0.70	15/20=0.75
	<del>.</del>	4	118/140=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85	15/20=0.75	14/20=0.70
80		5	110/139=0.80	17/20=0.85	15/20=0.75	14/20=0.70	15/20=0.75	15/18=0.84
		6	109/139=0.79	19/20=0.95	14/20=0.70*	14/20=0.70*	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85
·		7	117/138=0.85	16/19=0.85	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/19=0.90
		8	116/140=0.83	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	15/20=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
and the control of th		1	1180/ 95=12.4	171/14=12.2	150/12=12.5	102/ 9=11.3	126/10=12.6	166/14=11.9
		2	1223/103=11.9	204/16=12.8	195/16=12.2	143/11=13.0 *a	139/12=11.60D	184/15=12.3
!	2.	3	1276/104=12.3	159/15=10.6 *aD	154/14=11.0 ad	159/14=11.4	168/14=12.0 <b>0</b> I	173/15=11.5
		4	1408/118=11.9	218/18=12.1	197/17=11.6	197/17=11.6	163/15=10.9	172/14=12.3
10		5	1290/110=11.7	176/17=10.4	175/15=11.7	167/14=11.9	179/15=11.9	172/15=11.5
		6	1292/109=11.9	220/19=11.6	163/14=11.6	173/14=12.4	221/18=12.3	204/17=12.0
		7	1436/117=12.3	190/16=11.9	226/19=11.9	204/17=12.0	218/18=12.1	209/17=12.3
		8	1353/116=11.7	198/17=11.7	212/17=12.5	199/18=11.1	199/17=11.7	186/15=12.4

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND & = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, &, \* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, &, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>&</sup>amp;, ! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

# TABLE III COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

# AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 'MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
rie, independent in der Oppment programme		1	1322/ 95=13.9	182/14=13.0 aD	152/12=12.7 *aD	124/ 9=13.8	131/10=13.1	190/14±13.6
S !	£ !	2	1359/103=13.2	229/16=14.3	221/16=13.8	154/11=14.0	148/12=12.3@D	202/15=13.5
	·	3	1364/104=13.1	201/15=13.4	176/14=12.6	175/14=12.5	182/14=13.0	222/15=14.8 *@I
		4	1532/118=13.0	252/18=14.0	223/17=13.1	217/17=12.8	187/15=12.5	189/14=13.5
E !!	ľ	5	1428/110=13.0	220/17=12.9	215/15=14.3 *@I	195/14=13.9	215/15=14.3 ai	192/15=12.8
	•	6	1446/109=13.3	243/19=12.8	200/14=14.30I	187/14=13.4	245/18=13.6	233/17=13.7
11		7	1543/117=13.2	224/16=14.0	256/19=13.5	226/17=13.3	235/18=13.1	233/17=13.7
		8	1599/116=13.8	224/17=13.2	228/17=13.4	229/18=12.7 at	227/17=13.4	197/15=13.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND 0 = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05$ TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01$ 

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE I CONTROL	OOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	•	1	142/ 95= 1.5	11/14=, 0.8	-	22/ 9= 2.4	5/10= 0.5 *ap	24/14= 1.7
		2	136/103= 1.3	25/16= 1.6	26/16= 1.6	11/11= 1.0	9/12= 0.8	18/15= 1.2
E !!	!	3	88/104= 0.9	42/15= 2.8 **aa:		16/14= 1.101	14/14= 1.0*@D	49/15= 3.3 **@@
,		4	124/118= 1.1	34/18= 1.9 *aI	26/17= 1.5	20/17= 1.2	24/15= 1.6	17/14= 1.2
E !!		5	138/110= 1.3	44/17= 2.6		28/14= 2.0 aai	36/15= 2.4 ai	20/15= 1.3
12		6	154/109= 1.4	23/19= 1.2	37/14= 2.6	14/14= 1.0	24/18= 1.3	29/17= 1.7
ε!		7	107/117= 0.9	34/16= 2.1 **@@		22/17= 1.3	17/18= 0.9*aD	24/17= 1.4 ai
		8	246/116= 2.1	26/17= 1.5	16/17= 0.9		28/17= 1.7	11/15= 0.7 *aap

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- & AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
  ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST
- ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- \*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT PENALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	20/ 95=0.22	8/14=0 <sub>x•</sub> 58	1/12=0.09ap	1/ 9=0.12	1/10=0.10aD	18/14=1.29 **aaI
		2	43/103=0.42	10/16=0.63	7/16=0.44	9/11=0.82	4/12=0.34	32/15=2.14*aI **aaI
	•	3	53/104=0.51	8/15=0.54	9/14=0.65	6/14=0.43	3/14=0.22	22/15=1.47 @I
		4	53/118=0.45	9/18=0.50	8/17=0.48	8/17=0.48	10/15=0.67	11/14=0.79
		5	60/110=0.55	14/17=0.83	11/15=0.74	4/14=0.29	5/15=0.34	18/15=1.20
13		6	45/109=0.42	13/19=0.69	9/14=0.65	7/14=0.50	10/18=0.56	16/17=0.95
		7	53/117=0.46	12/16=0.75	9/19=0.48	10/17=0.59	15/18=0.84	8/17=0.48
		. 8	65/116=0.57	6/17=0.36	19/17=1.12	8/18=0.45	11/17=0.65	11/15=0.74

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \mathcal{E}, \partial, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \mathcal{E}, \partial, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	19/ 95=0.20	5/14=0, 36	1/12=0.09	1/ 9=0.12	1/10=0.10	9/14=0.65
		2	32/103=0.32	6/16=0.38	4/16=0.25	6/11=0.55	4/12=0.34	11/15=0.74*
		3	32/104=0.31	7/15=0.47	6/14=0.43	4/14=0.29	3/14=0.22	9/15=0.60
		4	39/118=0.34	7/18=0.39	4/17=0.24	6/17=0.36	4/15=0.27	4/14=0.29
1		5	36/110=0.33	9/17=0.53	6/15=0.40	4/14=0.29	5/15=0.34	9/15=0.60
4		6	36/109=0.34	8/19=0.43	5/14=0.36	4/14=0.29	6/18=0.34	8/17=0.48
		7	38/117=0.33	8/16=0.50	4/19=0.22	6/17=0.36	6/18=0.34	8/17=0.48
		8	44/116=0.38	6/17=0.36	8/17=0.48	3/18=0.17	10/17=0.59	7/15=0.47

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
•	·	1	1/ 95=0.02	2/14=0, 15 **	0/12=0.0	0/9=0.0	0/10=0.0	5/14=0.36 **
		2	11/103=0.11	3/16=0.19	3/16=0.19	1/11=0.10	0/12=0.0	4/15=0.27
		3	16/104=0.16	1/15=0.07	1/14=0.08	2/14=0.15	0/14=0.0	3/15=0.20
		4	11/118=0.10	1/18=0.06	1/17=0.06	2/17=0.12	2/15=0.14	2/14=0.15
		5	16/110=0.15	2/17=0.12	4/15=0.27	0/14=0.0	0/15=0.0	3/15=0.20
15		6	9/109=0.09	4/19=0.22	3/14=0.22	3/14=0.22	2/18=0.12	3/17=0.18
		7	11/117=0.10	3/16=0.19	2/19=0.11	3/17=0.18	3/18=0.17	0/17=0.0
		8	18/116=0.16	0/17=0.0	3/17=0.18	2/18=0.12	1/17=0.06	3/15=0.20

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	20/1180=0.02	8/171=0.05	1/150=0.01aD	1/102=0.01	1/126=0.013D	18/166=0.11 **aa:
2	43/1223=0.04	10/204=0.05	7/195=0.04	9/143=0.07	4/139=0.03	32/184=0.18@I @I
3	53/1276=0.05	8/159=0.06	9/154=0.06	6/159=0.04	3/168=0.02*aD *aD	22/173=0.13
4	53/1408=0.04	9/218=0.05	8/197=0.05	8/197=0.05	10/163=0.07	11/172=0.07
5	60/1290=0.05	14/176=0.08	11/175=0.07	4/167=0.03a1 a1		18/172=0.11
6	45/1292=0.04	13/220=0.06	9/163=0.06	7/173=0.05	10/221=0.05	16/204=0.08
7	53/1436=0.04	12/190=0.07	9/226=0.04	10/204=0.05	15/218=0.07	8/209=0.04
8	65/1353=0.05	6/198=0.04	19/212=0.09	8/199=0.05	11/199=0.06	11/186=0.06

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-TAILED TEST @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	SEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG
		1	92/139=0.67	12/20 = 0.60	10/20=0.50	15/20=0.75	12/20=0.60
		2	104/140=0.75	14/20=0.70	18/20=0.90	17/19=0.90	12/20=0.60
		3	101/139=0.73	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75	13/20=0.65
		4	104/134=0.78	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75	18/20=0.90	12/20=0.60
		5	108/139=0.78	14/18=0.78	18/19=0.95	17/20=0.85	14/20=0.70
17		6	120/139=0.87	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75
·		7	117/135=0.87	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG
		. 1	1084/ 92=11.8	147/12=12.3	126/10=12.6	192/15=12.8 al	153/12=12.8
20 - Carlo Company (19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19		2	1301/104=12.5	173/14=12.4	226/18=12.6	216/17=12.7	143/12=11.9
		<b>.</b> 3	1196/101=11.8	209/18=11.6	180/16=11.3	169/15=11.3	150/13=11.5
		4	1221/104=11.7	193/16=12.1	182/15=12.1	217/18=12.1	140/12=11.7
10	1	5	1299/108=12.0	163/14=11.6	219/18=12.2	200/17=11.8	155/14=11.1
		6	1437/120=12.0	189/16=11.8	178/17=10.5 *@@	193/16=12.1 D	175/15=11.7
& !	I	7	1352/117=11.6	214/18=11.9	192/18=10.7	228/18=12.7 *a	204/16=12.8 PI @I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, @, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05TWO !, &, @, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG
		. 1	1218/ 92=13.2	167/12=13.9	137/10=13.7	223/15=14.9	161/12=13.4 DI
		2	1395/104=13.4	204/14=14.6	245/18=13.6	249/17=14.7	158/12=13.2
		3	1290/101=12.8	245/18=13.6	201/16=12.6	194/15=12.9	177/13=13.6
		4	1285/104=12.4	214/16=13.4	200/15=13.3 ai	231/18=12.8	153/12=12.8
5 !		5	1366/108=12.7	188/14=13.4	281/18=15.60I **a	230/17=13.5	190/14=13.6
!		6	1580/120=13.2	229/16=14.3	226/17=13.3	208/16=13.0	187/15=12.50
18		7	1474/117=12.6	237/18=13.2	228/18=12.7	247/18=13.7 a	211/16=13.2 I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

&,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG		LEVEL 500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG	/KG
		1	134/ 92= 1.5	20/12= 1.7	11/10= 1.	.1 31	/15= 2.1	8/12=	0.7 ap
		2	94/104= 0.9	31/14= 2.2	19/18= 1.	.1 33	3/17= 1.9	15/12=	1.3
S .!	£ 1	3	94/101= 0.9	36/18= 2.0 @I	21/16= 1.	. 3 25	/15= 1.7	27/13=	2.1 *@I
S !		4	64/104= 0.6	21/16= 1.3	18/15= 1.	.2 14 *aai	/18= 0.8	13/12=	1.1
1133	1133	5	67/108= 0.6	25/14= 1.8	62/18= 3.	.4 30 **@@I	•	35/14= **@@I	2.5 **@@I
! 2		6	143/120= 1.2	40/16= 2.5	48/17= 2	.8 15 *@@I	5/16= 0.9	12/15=	0.8
0 !	!	7	122/117= 1.0	23/18= 1.3	36/18= 2	.0 19	/18= 1.1	7/16=	0.4*aD aD

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

# AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG
nt d'April en majable de l'empe		1	35/ 92=0.39	5/12=0, 42	4/10=0.40	0/15=0.0 aD **aa	4/12=0.34 D
A Andrea & A		2	49/104=0.48	10/14=0.72	6/18=0.34	15/17=0.89 **aa	5/12=0.42
And the state of t	!	3	55/101=0.55	14/18=0.78	8/16=0.50	4/15=0.27	17/13=1.31
1133	-	4 -	61/104=0.59	5/16=0.32	3/15=0.20 *@D	1/18=0.06	3/12=0.25 D
		5	71/108=0.66	7/14=0.50	9/18=0.50	10/17=0.59	11/14=0.79
enthereitelementally (1 chalch e-cope nome our e-	1	6	47/120=0.40	15/16=0.94 *aa:	12/17=0.71 I	11/16=0.69 *aI	5/15=0.34*aD
2 -		7	59/117=0.51	11/18=0.62	15/18=0.84	13/18=0.73	10/16=0.63

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000MG/KG
		1	28/ 92=0.31	3/12=0.25	4/10=0.40	0/15=0.0 *	3/12=0.25
	,	2	32/104=0.31	6/14=0.43	5/18=0.28	12/17=0.71	5/12=0.42
		3	34/101=0.34	8/18=0.45	5/16=0.32	3/15=0.20	6/13=0.47
1 I 1 !	-	4	38/104=0.37	4/16=0.25	2/15=0.14	1/18=0.06	2/12=0.17
		5	49/108=0.46	5/14=0.36	4/18=0.23	8/17=0.48	6/14=0.43
22		6	33/120=0.28	10/16=0.63	6/17=0.36	10/16=0.63	3/15=0.20*
'		. 7	34/117=0.30	8/18=0.45	9/18=0.50	6/18=0.34	7/16=0.44

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### PORPORTION OF PENALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS.

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG
,		1	6/ 92=0.07	2/12=0.17	0/10=0.0	0/15=0.0	1/12=0.09
		2	8/104=0.08	2/14=0.15	1/18=0.06	2/17=0.12	0/12=0.0
		3	14/101=0.14	3/18=0.17	3/16=0.19	1/15=0.07	3/13=0.24
	-	4	14/104=0.14	1/16=0.07	1/15=0.07	0/18=0.0	1/12=0.09
-		5	18/108=0.17	1/14=0.08	1/18=0.06	2/17=0.12	2/14=0.15
80 60		6	9/120=0.08	4/16=0.25	3/17=0.18	1/16=0.07	1/15=0.07
·		7	14/117=0.12	2/18=0.12	4/18=0.23	3/18=0.17	3/16=0.19

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

# TABLE VIII COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 4.750 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 47.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 475.000 MG/KG
. 1	35/1084=0.04	5/147=0.04	4/126=0.04	0/192=0.0 @1	4/153=0.03
2	49/1301=0.04	10/173=0.06	6/226=0.03	15/216=0.07	5/143=0.04
3	55/1196=0.05	14/209=0.07	8/180=0.05	4/169=0.03	17/150=0.12
4	61/1221=0.05	5/193=0.03	3/182=0.02	1/217=0.01	3/140=0.03
5	71/1299=0.06	7/163=0.05	9/219=0.05	10/200=0.05	11/155=0.08
6	47/1437=0.04	15/189=0.08 @I	12/178=0.07	11/193=0.06	5/175=0.03
.7	59/1352=0.05	11/214=0.06	15/192=0.08	13/228=0.06	10/204=0.05

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
- @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

# 8. Dominant Lethal Study - Test II

Compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, was administered to ten male rats (400 grams) at a dose level of 5,000 mg/kg according to acute (single dose) and subacute (five doses). Each treated male rat was mated with two virgin female rats each week for seven (subacute) or eight (acute) weeks. Two weeks after mating, these female rats were sacrificed and the fertility index, preimplantation loss and lethal effects on the embryos were determined and compared with these same parameters calculated from negative (saline-dosed) and positive (0.3 mg/kg TEM-dosed) control animals.

The values calculated for these parameters from animals dosed with compound FDA 71-51, Methocel, did not significantly vary from those obtained from the negative controls. The significant increase in dead embryos per total implants registered for the week 8 acute sequence is primarily due to the fact that 16 dead embryos were present in a single female. If this female is treated as an outlier then the ratio obtained is more in line with the others.

# DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-51

**METHOCEL** 

TEST II

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

COMPOUND 51

STUDY ACUTE

#### FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	138/199=0.70	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70	10/ 20=0.50*
		2	154/199=0.78	15/ 20=0.75	17/ 20=0.85	12/ 20=0.60
		3	154/198=0.78	14/ 20=0.70	16/ 20=0.80	4/ 20=0.20** **
		4	172/200=0.86	17/ 20=0.85	13/ 20=0.65	6/ 20=0.30**
		5	160/199=0.81	15/ 20=0.75	16/ 20=0.80	15/ 20=0.75
		6	156/199=0.79	19/ 20=0.95	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70*
		7	169/197=0.86	15/ 20=0.75	18/ 20=0.90	15/ 20=0.75
		8	166/200=0.83	16/ 20=0.80	18/ 20=0.90	14/ 20=0.70

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 51

STUDY ACUTE

# AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT PEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
Strange control to the strange of th		1	1720/138=12.5	203/ 16=12.7	164/ 14=11.7	100/ 10=10.0**aap **aab
The first transfer of		2	1871/154=12.2	182/ 15=12.1	221/ 17=13.0 *@I	81/ 12= 6.8**@@D **@@D
		3	1867/154=12.1	173/ 14=12.4	203/ 16=12.7	25/ 4= 6.3**@D **@DD
effet (*injerê, ppp skêrzisjan û sepana a ge		4	2063/172=12.0	208/ 17=12.2	148/ 13=11.4	32/ 6= 5.3**aad **aad
·		5,	1906/160=11.9	193/ 15=12.9 *aI	195/ 16=12.2	149/ 15= 9.9**@@D *@D
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		6	1868/156=12.0	254/ 19=13.4 *aai	195/ 16=12.2	185/ 14=13.2 *aI
		7	2082/169=12.3	183/ 15=12.2	217/ 18=12.1	184/ 15=12.3
		8	1978/166=11.9	199/ 16=12.4	218/ 18=12.1	182/ 14=13.0 *aai

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05$ TWO !, &, a, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1936/138=14.0	251/ 16=15.7 . *@I	202/ 14=14.4	126/ 10=12.6**aaD aD
		2	2120/154=13.8	217/ 15=14.5	247/ 17=14.5	168/ 12=14.0
	•	3	2087/154=13.6	215/ 14=15.4 *@@I	248/ 16=15.5 aI	54/ 4=13.5*aD
		4	2299/172=13.4	232/ 17=13.7	188/ 13=14.5	77/ 6=12.8
		5	2132/160=13.3	220/ 15=14.7	237/ 16=14.8 aI	201/ 15=13.4
		6	2100/156=13.5	302/ 19=15.9	228/ 16=14.3	252/ 14=18.0 **@@I
٠,		7	2284/169=13.5	203/ 15=13.5	258/ 18=14.3	221/ 15=14.7 *aI
		8	2330/166=14.0	217/ 16=13.6	260/ 18=14.4	212/ 14=15.1@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- & AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
  ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST
- ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\vartheta$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\vartheta$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- \*, d SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL
- E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

# AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	216/138= 1.6	48/ 16= 3.0	38/ 14= 2.7	26/ 10= 2.6
		2	249/154= 1.6	35/ 15= 2.3 **@@I	26/ 17= 1.5	87/ 12= 7.3**@@I **@@I
		3	220/154= 1.4	42/ 14= 3.0 **@@I	45/ 16= 2.8 *@I	29/ 4= 7.3**@@I **@@I
		4	236/172= 1.4	24/ 17= 1.4	40/ 13= 3.1*@I **@@I	45/ 6= 7.5**@@I **@@I
		5	226/160= 1.4	27/ 15= 1.8	42/ 16= 2.6	52/ 15= 3.5 **@@I
		6	232/156= 1.5	48/ 19= 2.5 *@@I	33/ 16= 2.1	67/ 14= 4.8 **@@I
		7	202/169= 1.2	20/ 15= 1.3	41/ 18= 2.3 *@@I	37/ 15= 2.5 @I
		8	352/166= 2.1	18/ 16= 1.1	42/ 18= 2.301	30/ 14= 2.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>2 \*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>&</sup>amp;, ! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 51

STUDY ACUTE

# AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		. 1	37/138=0.27	15/ 16=0.94 * *@I	6/ 14=0.43	88/ 10=8.80**@@I **@@I
		2	72/154=0.47	13/ 15=0.87	9/ 17=0.53	69/ 12=5.75**@@I **@@I
		3	89/154=0.58	3/ 14=0.22 *ap	11/ 16=0.69@I	25/ 4=6.25**aai **aai
	-	4	85/172=0.50	8/ 17=0.48	8/ 13=0.62	29/ 6=4.84**@@I **@@I
		<b>5</b>	98/160=0.62	6/ 15=0.40	12/ 16=0.75	55/ 15=3.67**@3I **@@I
		6	76/156=0.49	17/ 19=0.90	15/ 16=0.94	19/ 14=1.36 *@I
		7	87/169=0.52	3/ 15=0.20 *aD	6/ 18=0.34	19/ 15=1.27**aai **aai
		8	87/166=0.53	9/ 16=0.57	33/ 18=1.84*aI **aaI	15/ 14=1.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*, 3</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 51 STUDY ACUTE

# PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	31/138=0.23	8/ 16=0.50	6/ 14=0.43	10/ 10=1.00**
		2	52/154=0.34	6/ 15=0.40	8/ 17=0.48	12/ 12=1.00**
		3	55/154=0.36	2/ 14=0.15	8/ 16=0.50*	4/ 4=1.00**
		4	64/172=0.38	7/ 17=0.42	7/ 13=0.54	6/ 6=1.00* **
		5	60/160=0.38	6/ 15=0.40	10/ 16=0.63	15/ 15=1.00** **
		6	55/156=0.36	10/ 19=0.53	7/ 16=0.44	9/ 14=0.65
	e 1	7	62/169=0.37	3/ 15=0.20	5/ 18=0.28	11/ 15=0.74**
		8	62/166=0.38	7/ 16=0.44	16/ 18=0.89** **	8/ 14=0.58

SYMBOLS ON PIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 51 TABLE VII STUDY ACUTE

### PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

POSITIVE CONTROL	F	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	NEGATIVE CONTROL	HISTORICAL CONTROL		ARITH E DOSE	LOG DOSE
10=1.00**	10/	0/14=0.0 *	4/ 16=0.25 * **	4/138=0.03	1		
12=1.00**	12/	1/ 17=0.06	4/ 15=0.27	18/154=0.12	2		
4=1.00** **	4/	2/ 16=0.13	1/ 14=0.08	25/154=0.17	3	•	
6=0.84**	5/	1/ 13=0.08	1/ 17=0.06	15/172=0.09	4		
15=0.80**	12/	2/ 16=0.13	0/ 15=0.0	24/160=0.15	5		
14=0.29	4/	4/ 16=0.25	4/ 19=0.22	17/156=0.11	6		
15=0.34*	5/	1/ 18=0.06	0/ 15=0.0	20/169=0.12	7		
14=0.22	3/	3/ 18=0.17	2/ 16=0.13	20/166=0.13	8		

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 51

TABLE VIII
STUDY ACUTE

### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE Control	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	37/1720=0.02	15/203=0.07 * *aI	6/164=0.04 ai	88/100=0.88**aaI **aaI
2	72/1871=0.04	13/182=0.07	9/221=0.04	69/ 81=0.85**aaI **aaI
3	89/1867=0.05	3/173=0.02 *aaD	11/203=0.05@[	25/ 25=1.00**aaI **aaI
4	85/2063=0.04	8/208=0.04	8/148=0.05	29/ 32=0.91**aaI **aaI
5	98/1906=0.05	6/193=0.03	12/195=0.06	55/149=0.37**aaI **aaI
6	76/1868=0.04	17/254=0.07	15/195=0.08	19/185=0.10 aI
7	87/2082=0.04	3/183=0.02 *aD	6/217=0.03	19/184=0.10**aaI *aaI
8	87/1978=0.04	9/199=0.05	33/218=0.15*aI *aaI	15/182=0.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-TAILED TEST

a = ONE-TAILED TEST

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

COMPOUND 51

STUDY SUBACUTE

### FERTILITY INDEX

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	134/199=0.68	14/ 20=0.70	13/ 20=0.65
		2	152/200=0.76	14/ 20=0.70	17/ 19=0.90
		3	156/199=0.79	15/ 20=0.75	16/ 20=0.80
		4	153/194=0.79	17/ 20=0.85	15/ 20=0.75
		5	155/197=0.79	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70
	·	6	168/199=0.85	18/ 20=0.90	17/ 20=0.85
		7	169/195=0.87	17/ 20=0.85	18/ 20=0.90

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 51

STUDY SUBACUTE

## AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL		GATIVE ONTROL		SE LEVEL 00. Mg/kg
		1	1630/134=12.2	162/	14=11.6	160/	13=12.3
		2	1904/152=12.5	182/	14=13.0	236/	17=13.9 **aar
		3	1864/156=12.0	192/	15=12.8	192/	16=12.0
,		4	1791/153=11.7	207/	17=12.2	165/	15=11.0
		5	1874/155=12.1	205/	16=12.8	151/	14=10.8*ap
		6	2021/168=12.0	216/	18=12.0	203/	17=11.9
		7	1954/169=11.6	219/		217/	18=12.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\omega$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\omega$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 51

). J

STUDY SUBACUTE

I.

### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG ARITH HISTORICAL NEGATIVE DOSE LEVEL DOSE DOSE WEEK CONTROL CONTROL 5000. MG/KG

- 1 1855/134=13.8 195/ 14=13.9 187/ 13=14.4
- 2 2110/152=13.9 232/ 14=16.6 283/ 17=16.7 \*\*aar
- 3 2085/156=13.4 244/ 15=16.3 238/ 16=14.9 \*\*aai
- 4 1953/153=12.8 244/ 17=14.4 190/ 15=12.7\*ap \*aai
- 5 2079/155=13.4 254/ 16=15.9 203/ 14=14.5 \*\*aai
- 6 2290/168=13.6 259/ 18=14.4 221/ 17=13.00D
- 7 2218/169=13.1 287/ 17=16.9 258/ 18=14.3\*\*aap \*\*aar

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, a, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, a, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 51

STUDY SUBACUTE

### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	225/134= 1.7	33/ 14= 2.4	27/ 13= 2.1
		2	206/152= 1.4	50/ 14= 3.6 **@@I	•
		3	221/156= 1.4	52/ 15= 3.5 *@I	46/ 16= 2.9 *@@I
	*	4	162/153= 1.1	37/ 17= 2.2 **@@I	25/ 15= 1.7
		<b>5</b>	205/155= 1.3	49/ 16= 3.1 **@@I	52/ 14= 3.7 **@@I
		6	269/168= 1.6	43/ 18= 2.4 @I	18/ 17= 1.1aD
		.7	264/169= 1.6	68/ 17= 4.0 **@@I	41/ 18= 2.3

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST

! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \delta, \delta, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \delta, \delta, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 51

STUDY SUBACUTE

## AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

COG	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	56/134=0.42	14/ 14=1.00	5/ 13=0.39
		2	77/152=0.51	18/ 14=1.29 @I	14/ 17=0.83
-	4.	3	90/156=0.58	16/ 15=1.07	12/ 16=0.75
	*	4	85/153=0.56	8/ 17=0.48	12/ 15=0.80
		5	96/155=0.62	14/ 16=0.88	21/ 14=1.50 *@@I
	•	6	84/168=0.50	17/ 18=0.95 *aai	9/ 17=0.53aD
		7	107/169=0.64	20/ 17=1.18	17/ 18=0.95

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, &, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, &, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

Confound 31

### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	42/134=0.32	7/ 14=0.50	4/ 13=0.31
		2	50/152=0.33	8/ 14=0.58	6/ 17=0.36
		3	55/156=0.36	7/ 15=0.47	9/ 16=0.57
		4	58/153=0.38	6/ 17=0.36	8/ 15=0.54
		5	67/155=0.44	8/ 16=0.50	10/ 14=0.72
		6	58/168=0.35	12/ 18=0.67	5/ 17=0.30*
		7	61/169=0.37	7/ 17=0.42	10/ 18=0.56

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 51 STUDY SUBACUTE

### PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	12/134=0.09	4/ 14=0.29	1/ 13=0.08
		2	14/152=0.10	4/ 14=0.29	5/ 17=0.30
		3	22/156=0.15	5/ 15=0.34	2/ 16=0.13
	~	4	18/153=0.12	2/ 17=0.12	2/ 15=0.14
		5	23/155=0.15	4/ 16=0.25	6/ 14=0.43
		6	20/168=0.12	4/ 18=0.23	3/ 17=0.18
		7	25/169=0.15	7/ 17=0.42	4/ 18=0.23

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
  TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- \* SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL
- ! SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 51

TABLE VIII
STUDY SUBACUTE

### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

YEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
1	56/1630=0.03	14/162=0.09	5/160=0.03
2	77/1904=0.04	18/182=0.10	14/236=0.06
3	90/1864=0.05	16/192=0.08	12/192=0.06
4	85/1791=0.05	8/207=0.04	12/165=0.07
5	96/1874=0.05	14/205=0.07	21/151=0.14 *aaI
6	84/2021=0.04	17/216=0.08 *aI	9/203=0.04
7	107/1954=0.05	20/219=0.09	17/217=0.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
- a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*.@ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO \*.@ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

### **APPENDICES**

### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Animal Husbandry

1. Animals (Rats and Mice)

Ten to twelve week old rats (280 to 350 g) and male mice (25 to 30 g) were fed a commercial 4% fat diet and water ad libitum until they were put on experiment. Flow Laboratories random-bred, closed colony, Sprague-Dawley CD strain rats were used in the cytogenetic studies. Flow Laboratories ICR male mice were employed in the Host-Mediated Assay.

2. Preparation of Diet

A commercial 4% fat diet was fed to all animals. Periodic tests to verify the absence of coliforms, <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Pseudomonas</u> sp. were performed.

### 3. Husbandry

Animals were held in quarantine for 4-11 days. Mice were housed five to a cage and rats one to five to a cage. Animals were identified by ear punch. Sanitary cages and bedding were used, and changed two times per week, at which time water containers were cleaned, sanitized and filled. Once a week, cages were repositioned on racks; racks were repositioned within rooms monthly. Personnel handling animals or working within animal facilities wore head coverings and face masks, as well as suitable garments. Individuals with respiratory or other overt infections were excluded from the animal facilities.

## B. <u>Dosage Determination</u>

1. Acute  $LD_{50}$  and  $LD_{5}$  Determination

Since the compounds proposed for testing are included in



the food additive regulations as "generally recognized as safe" (GRAS), it was expected that a large number of them would be sufficiently non-toxic so that determination of a  $LD_{50}$  or a  $LD_{5}$  would be of no practical value. In fact, this has been our experience with previously tested compounds from this list. In the case of these relatively non-toxic compounds, attempts were made to assure that the amounts to be administered would not affect the animals by means (mechanical, physical, etc.) related to their bulk rather than to their toxicity. In the cases of certain compounds where a  $LD_{50}$  or a  $LD_{5}$  could not be determined, an exceedingly high concentration, 5 g/kg, was employed and accepted as the  $LD_{5}$  level. In cases where the toxicity was high enough to allow determination of a  $LD_{5}$ , the following protocol was used.

Thirty rats of the strain chosen for studies described below and of approximately the age and weight specified were assigned at random to six groups. Each group was then given, using the chosen route of administration, one of a series of dosages of the test compound following a logarithmic dosage scheme. The series of dosages were derived from a consideration of whatever toxicity information was available for the particular test compound. The objective in selecting dosages was to choose values which would cause mortalities between 10% and 90%.

When information was inadequate to derive a suitable series of dosages, five rats were used to identify the proper range. Each of these was given one of a widely spaced (differing by 10X) series of doses. This was confidently expected to suffice for derivation of the series of dosages to be used in the  $LD_{50}$  determination.



The mortalities observed when the series of dosages were given to the 30 rats were then subjected to a probit analysis and calculation of  $LD_{50}$ ,  $LD_{5}$ , slope and confidence limits by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon. The highest dose level used was either a finite  $LD_{5}$  or 5000 mg/kg. The intermediate level used was either 1/10 of the finite  $LD_{5}$  or 2500 mg/kg. The low level used was either 1/100 of the finite  $LD_{5}$  or 30 mg/kg.

### 2. Subacute Studies

Subacute doses were identical to those used in the acute studies. Each subacute study animal was given the acute dosage once a day for each of five consecutive days (24 hours apart).

## C. <u>Mutagenicity Testing Protocols</u>

### 1. Host-Mediated Assay

Flow Laboratories ICR random-bred male mice were used in this study. In the acute and subacute studies ten animals, 25-30 g each, were employed at each dose level. Solvent and positive controls were run at all times. The positive control (dimethyl nitrosamine) was run by the acute system only at a dose of 100 mg/kg for <a href="Salmonella">Salmonella</a>. For yeast, ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) intramuscularly injected at a dose of 350 mg/kg was used. The solvents used and the toxicity data are presented in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

The indicator organisms used in this study were: (1) two histidine auxotrophs (his G-46, TA-1530) of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, and (2) a diploid strain (D-3) of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. The induction of reverse mutation was determined with the <u>Salmonella</u>; mitotic recombination was determined with yeast. Chemicals were evaluated directly by <u>in vitro</u> bacterial and yeast studies prior to, or concurrent with, the studies in



mice. Only animals on the subacute studies were not fed the evening prior to compound administration. The Salmonella were carried in tryptone yeast extract gel, transferred weekly. They were transferred to tryptone yeast extract broth 48 hours before use: they were transferred a second time from broth to broth 24 hours prior to use, and again 8 hours before use. The mouse inoculum was prepared by transferring 4 ml of the 8-hour broth culture to 50 ml broth bottles which had been prewarmed at 37°C. Exponential log-phase organisms were inoculated intraperitoneally into the mice approximately 2-1/2 hours later when the appropriate density indicating 3.0  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml was reached. The Saccharomyces was carried in yeast complete agar. The inoculum was prepared by harvesting the organisms from the surface of the plates with sterile saline. The cells were washed three times with sterile saline and suspended in a concentration of 5.0  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml. Two ml of the suspension was inoculated into each mouse intraperitoneally. Total plate counts on Salmonella were on tryptone yeast extract and for Saccharomyces on yeast complete medium.

### a. Acute study

Three dosage levels (usage, intermediate [determined as discussed previously], and LD $_5$ ) were administered orally by intubation to ten mice. Positive controls and negative vehicle controls were included in each study. All animals received 2 ml of the indicator organism intraperitoneally. Each ml contained 3.0 x  $10^8$  cells for Salmonella and 5.0 x  $10^8$  cells for Saccharomyces. Three hours later, each animal was killed and 2 ml of sterile saline was introduced intraperitoneally. As much fluid as possible was then aseptically removed from the peritoneal cavity. Dilution blanks for bacteria containing 4.5 ml of serile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial



dilutions were made of each peritoneal exudate (0.5 ml exudate + 4.5 ml saline) yielding a concentration series from  $10^0$  (undiluted peritoneal exudate) through  $10^{-7}$ . For enumeration of total bacterial counts, the  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-7}$  dilutions were plated on tryptone yeast extract agar, 3 plates/sample, 0.2 ml sample/ plate. Each sample was spread over the surface of the plate using a bent glass rod immersed in 95% ethanol and flamed just prior to use. In plating for the total mutant counts on minimal agar, the 100 dilution was used, 0.2 ml being plated on each of 5 plates. The plating procedure was identical to that followed for the tryptone yeast extract agar plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C, tryptone yeast extract agar plates for 18 hours and minimal agar plates for 40 hours. For yeast mitotic recombination, dilution blanks containing 4.5 ml of sterile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial dilutions were made of each sample yielding a series from  $10^{0}$  to  $10^{-5}$ . Samples of 0.1 ml of the  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ , and  $10^{-3}$  dilutions were removed and plated on complete medium (10 plates each). All plates were incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 40 hours. The  $10^{-5}$ dilutions were used to determine total populations and the  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  plates were examined after an additional 40 hours at 4°C for red sectors indicating a mutation. Bacterial scoring was calculated as follows:

Total mutants on 5 plates x appropriate exponent = CFU/ml (CFU is Colony Forming Units) of sample plated CFU/ml x one/dilution factor ( $10^{0} - 10^{-7}$ ) = CFU/ml in undiluted exudate. The mutation frequency (MF) calculated for each sample was:

 $MF = \frac{total\ mutant\ cells}{total\ population}$ 

MFt/MFc = MF of experimental sample MF of control sample

(MFt/MFc = 1.00 for control sample)



Yeast mitotic recombinants (presumptive ade 2, his 8 homozygotes) were seen as red colonies or as red sectors on a normally white yeast colony. The plates (from  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  dilutions) were scanned under the 10X lens of a dissecting scope to enumerate the red colonies and sectors. Population determinations were made from the  $10^{-5}$  dilution plates. A recombinant frequency (RF) was calculated:

# RF = total recombinants counted total number colonies screened

### b. Subacute study

Similar groups of animals at each dose level received five oral doses of the test compound 24 hours apart. Within 30 minutes after the last dosing, the animals were inoculated with the test organism and handled in the same fashion as those in the acute study.

### c. In vitro study

Cultures of <u>S</u>. <u>typhimurium</u> histidine auxotrophs

(G-46 and TA-1530) were plated on appropriate media. The test compound was then added to the plate, either in the form of a microdrop of solution (0.01 to 0.25 ml) applied to a small filter paper disc resting on the agar or a small crystal applied directly to the agar. Tenfold serial dilutions of the culture were employed and plated so as not to miss the optimum cell density for mutant growth.

Mutant colonies were observed and scored. Strain D-3 <u>Saccharomyces</u> cells at proper dilutions were shaken with the test compound, diluted, and plated at 50% survival level or above (see HMA Supplementary Materials and Methods). Red sectors were then scored and the frequency calculated after suitable incubation.

Negative and positive controls were run concurrently. The positive control was EMS for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Saccharomyces</u>. The <u>in vitro Salmonella</u> tests were reported



as (+) or (-) or questionable; the <u>in vitro Saccharomyces</u> tests were reported as sample concentrations, percent survival, and recombinants/ $10^5$  survivors. For the <u>Saccharomyces</u> a 50% survival level, e.g., an arbitrary 5.0% w/v test level, was used when no  $LD_{50}$  was determinable.

### 2. Cytogenetic Studies

## a. <u>In vivo</u> study

Ten to twelve week old, male, albino rats obtained from a closed colony (random-bred) were used. A total of 59 animals in the acute study and 18 animals in the subacute study was used, as illustrated in the following protocol.

## Number of Animals Used

### Acute Study

Treatment	Time Kille	d After Admir	nistration
	6 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours
High Level	5	5	· 5
Intermediate Level	5	5	5
Low Level	5	5	5
Positive Control	0	0	5
Negative Control	3	3	3

## Subacute Study

Five doses 24 hours apart; animals killed 6 hours after last dose.

Treatment	Killed After Administration
High Level	5
Intermediate Level	5
Low Level	5
Negative Control	3

All animals were dosed by gastric intubation.

Four hours after the last compound administration, and two hours prior to killing, each animal was given 4 mg/kg of colcemid intra-



peritoneally in order to arrest the bone marrow cells in C-mitosis. Animals were killed by using CO<sub>2</sub>, and the adhering muscle and epiphysis of one femur were removed. The marrow "plug" was removed with a tuberculin syringe and an 18 gauge needle, aspirated into 5 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (BSS) in a test tube and capped. The specimens were centrifuged at 1,500 RPM in a table-top centrifuge for 5 minutes, decanted, and 2 ml of hypotonic 0.5% KCl solution was added with gentle agitation to resuspended the cells. The specimens were then placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes in order to swell the cells. Following centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1,500 RPM, the supernatant was decanted and 2 ml of fixative (3:1 absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid) was added. The cells were resuspended in the fixative with gentle agitation, capped, and placed at 4°C for 30 minutes. The specimens were again centrifuged, decanted, 2 ml of prepared fixative was added, and the cells were resuspended and placed at 4°C overnight.

The following day the specimens were again centrifuged, decanted and 0.3 - 0.6 ml of freshly prepared fixative was added to obtain a suitable density. The cells were resuspended and 2 - 3 drops of the suspension were allowed to drop onto a clean, dry slide held at 15° from the horizontal. As the suspension flowed to the edge of the slide, it was ignited by an alcohol burner and allowed to flame. Following ignition, the slides were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Duplicate slides were prepared. The slides were stained using a 5% Giemsa solution (Giemsa buffer pH 7.2) for 20 minutes, rinsed in acetone, 1:1 acetone:xylene, and placed in fresh xylene for 30 minutes. The slides were then mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 24 x 50 mm coverglasses. The coverglasses were selected to be 0.17 mm  $\pm$  0.005 mm in thickness by use of a coverglass micrometer. The preparations



were examined using Leitz Ortholux I & II microscopes with brightfield optics and xenon light sources. These specimens were scanned with 10X and 24X objectives and suitable metaphase spreads that were countable were then examined critically using 40X, 63X or 100X oil immersion flatfield apochromatic objectives. Oculars were either 12X or 16X widefield periplanatics and the tube magnification either 1X or 1.25X. The filters used were either a didymium (BG20) or a Schott IL570 mu interference filter.

The chromosomes of each cell were counted and only diploid cells were analyzed. They were scored for chromatid gaps and breaks, chromosome gaps and breaks, reunions, cells with greater than ten aberrations, polyploidy, pulverization, and any other chromosomal aberrations which were observed. They were recorded on the currently used forms and expressed as percentages on the summary sheets. Fifty metaphase spreads were scored per animal. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting at least 500 cells and the ratio of the number of cells in mitosis/the number of cells observed was expressed as the mitotic index.

Positive controls in the acute study consisted of animals which had been given the known mutagen Triethylene Melamine (TEM) administered intraperitoneally at a level of 0.30 mg/kg. Negative controls on the acute and subacute studies consisted of the vehicle in which the compound was administered. The dosage levels, solvents and toxicity data are included in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

# b. In vitro study

Human embryonic lung cultures (WI-38) which were negative for adventitious agents (viruses, mycoplasma) which may interfere



were used. These cells were employed at passage level 19. The cells had been transferred using 0.025% trypsin and planted in 32 oz. prescription bottles containing 40 ml of tissue culture medium. When growth was approximately 95% confluent the cells were removed from the glass using trypsin, centrifuged, and frozen in tissue culture medium containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were frozen in vials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at a concentration of 2  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml. When needed, the vials were removed from liquid nitrogen, quick-thawed in a 37°C water bath, washed free of DMSO, suspended in tissue culture medium (minimal essential medium [MEM] plus 1% glutamine, 200 units/ml of penicillin and 200 µg/ml of streptomycin and 15% fetal calf serum) and planted in milk dilution bottles at a concentration of 5 x  $10^5$  cells/ml. The test compound was added at three dose levels using three bottles for each level, 24 hours after planting. The dose levels required a preliminary determination of a tissue culture toxicity. This was accomplished by adding logarithmic doses of the compound in saline to a series of tubes containing 5 x  $10^5$  cells/ml which were almost confluent. The cells were examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours. Any cytopathic effect (CPE) or inhibition of mitoses was scored as toxicity. Five more closely spaced dose levels were employed within the two logarithmic dosages, the higher of which showed toxicity and the lower no effect. The solvents used and the range finding data are presented in the toxicity data report under Results and Discussion. The dose level below the lowest toxic level was employed as the high level. Logarithmic dose levels were employed for the medium and low levels.

Cells were incubated at 37°C and examined twice daily to determine when an adequate number of mitoses were present. Cells were harvested by shaking when sufficient mitoses were observed, usually 24 - 48



hours after planting, centrifuged, and fixed in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 30 minutes.

The specimens were centrifuged, decanted, and suspended in acetic acid-orcein stain (2.0%) and a drop of suspension placed on a clean dry slide. Selected coverglasses 0.17 mm in thickness were placed on the suspension and the excess stain gently expressed from the slide. The coverglasses were sealed with clear nail polish and examined immediately.

The microscopes, objectives, oculars, filters and light sources were enumerated under the metaphase description. Positive controls used were TEM (at a concentration of 0.1 mcg/ml dissolved in saline) and negative controls which consisted of the vehicle in which the test compound was dissolved, which was 0.85% saline. Data were reported on forms currently used and expressed as percentages on the anaphase summary sheets.

### 3. Dominant Lethal Assay

In this test, male and female random bred rats from a closed colony were employed. These animals were 10-12 weeks old at the time of use. Ten male rats were assigned to each of 5 groups; 3 dose levels selected as described above, a positive control (triethylene melamine) (TEM) and a negative control (solvent only). The positive control was administered intraperitoneally. Administration of the test compound was orally by intubation in both the acute study (1 dose) and in the subacute study (1 dose per day for 5 days). Following treatment, the males were sequentially mated to 2 females per week for 8 weeks (7 weeks in the subacute study). Two virgin female rats were housed with a male for 5 days (Monday through Friday). These two females were removed and housed in a cage until killed. The male was rested on Saturday and Sunday and two new females introduced to the cage on



Monday. It has been our experience that conception has taken place in more than 90% of the females by Friday and that the two day rest is beneficial to the male as regards subsequent weekly matings. Females were killed using CO<sub>2</sub> at 14 days after separating from the male, and at necropsy the uterus was examined for deciduomata (early deaths), late fetal deaths and total implantations.

Sufficient animals were provided in our experimental design to accommodate for any reduction in the number of conceptions. Each male was mated with two females per week, and this provided for an adequate number of implantations per group per week (200 minimum) for negative controls, even if there was a fourfold reduction in fertility of implantations. Results were analyzed according to the statistical procedures described in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Corpora lutea, early fetal deaths, late fetal deaths and total implantations per uterine horn were recorded on the raw data sheets, which are submitted separately.

# D. <u>Supplementary Materials and Methods</u>

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay In Vitro and Formulae
  - a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests

This method has been published by Ames: The Detection of Chemical Mutagens with Enteric Bacteria, in <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>; <u>Principles and Methods for Their Detection</u>, Vol. 1, Chapter 9, pp. 267-282, A. Hollaender, Editor, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

- b. <u>In vitro</u> for mitotic recombination
- (1) Strain D-3 was grown to stationary phase on complete medium agar plates at 30°C (3-4 days). Cells were rinsed from the plates and washed twice in saline and cell concentration determined spectro-



photometrically. (A standard curve previously determined for colony forming units versus % transmittance at 545 mu was easily used.)

- (2) Cells from the concentration suspension were diluted appropriately into 0.067 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.2 to provide  $5 \times 10^7$  cells/ml in a total of 25 ml.
- 4 hours at 30°C, with shaking, at concentrations which permitted determination of the 50% survival level. Then, if not included in the first experiment, the compound was tested again only at the 50% survival level. If 50% survival level could not be determined, the arbitrary test level of 5% w/v was used.
- plated on complete agar medium for determination of total population and red sectors. Total surviving population was conveniently measured on plates of  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-5}$  dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates), and sectors determined on plates of  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$  dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates). Plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°C followed by a holding period of 2 days at 4°C to promote color development with limited enlargement of the colonies. Red sectors were scored by systematically scanning the plates with a dissecting microscope at 10X magnification.
- (5) The frequency of red sectors can then be calculated and may be expressed conveniently as sectors per 10<sup>5</sup> survivors for comparison with untreated controls.
- (6) Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) was employed as the positive control in both <u>in vitro</u> systems.
  - c. Minimal medium (bacteria):
    Spizizen's Minimal Medium:



## 4X Salt Solution:

(NH<sub>4</sub>) SO<sub>4</sub> 8.0 gm

K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 56.0 gm

KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 24.0 gm

Na Citrate 4.0 gm

 $Mg SO_4$  0.8 gm

Biotin 0.004 gm

H<sub>2</sub>0 qs to 1 liter

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C/15 min.)

Medium:

4X Salt Solution :250 ml

5.0% Glucose (sterile) :100 ml (If histidine is added

at concentration of 30 mg/liter, this becomes a complete bacterial

medium.)

1.5% Bacto-agar :650 ml (sterile)

d. Complete medium (bacteria):

Bacto-Tryptone 1.0 gm

Yeast-Extract 0.5 gm

Bacto-Agar 2.0 gm

Distilled H<sub>2</sub>0 100.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

e. Complete medium (yeast):

KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 1.5 gm

MgSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5 gm

 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  4.5 gm

 Peptone
 3.5 gm

 Yeast-Extract
 5.0 gm

 Glucose
 20.0 gm

 Agar
 20.0 gm

 Distilled H20
 1000.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

 Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes (from Nichols, 1970)

"Anaphase preparations may be made by several methods. convenient approach is to grow cells directly on coverslips in petri dishes. With human fibroblasts 400,000 cells added to a 22 x 44 mm coverslip in a 50 mm petri dish grown in a 5%  ${\rm CO_2}$  atmosphere in air has proved very satisfactory. When adequate numbers of mitoses are visualized directly utilizing an inverted microscope (usually 48 to 92 hours after planting) the coverslip is transferred to absolute ethanol for 15 minutes for fixation. They are then stained with any one of a number of suitable stains (Fuelgen, May-Grunwald-Giemse, orcein) and attached to a slide with mounting media for evaluation. Anaphase preparations may also be prepared on cells grown in suspension or cells from a monolayer that have been put into suspension. In this instance the cells are centrifuged and fixed with the squash fixative. They are then suspended in the stain and a drop of the suspension put on the slide and covered with a coverslip. However, in this case, only the excess stain is gently expressed from under the coverslip and no squashing is carried out. In anaphase preparations no pretreatment with colchicine or hypotonic expansion is used and no technique for spreading the cells is used, so that the spindle and normal relationships of the chromosomes are not disturbed."



- 3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies

  The following statistical analyses were employed as a means of analyzing the results of the dominant lethal studies.
  - a. The fertility index

The number of pregnant females/number of mated females with the chi-square was used to compare each treatment to the control. Armitage's trend was used for linear proportions to test whether the fertility index was linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

b. Total number of implantations

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control. Regression techniques were used to determine whether the average number of implantations per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.

- c. Total number of <u>corpora lutea</u>

  The t-test was used to determine significant

  differences between average number of <u>corpora lutea</u> per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control.
  - d. Preimplantation losses

Preimplantation losses were computed for each female by subtracting the number of implantations from the number of corpora lutea.

Freeman-Tukey transformation was used on the preimplantation losses for each female and then the t-test was used to compare each treatment to control. Regression technique was used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.



e. Dead implants

Dead implants were treated the same as pre-

implantation losses.

f. One or more dead implants

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants was computed, each treatment compared to control by chi-square test and Armitage's trend used for linear proportions to see if proportions were linearly related to either arithmetic or log dose. Also, probit regression analysis was used to determine whether the probit of the proportions was related to log dose.

g. Two or more dead implants

The proportion of females with two or more dead implants computed was treated same as above (f).

h. Dead implants per total implants

Dead implants per total implants were computed for each female and used Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation on data for each female; then used t-test to compare each treatment to control.

Historical control data was compiled on a continuous basis as studies were completed. In addition to comparing each treatment to control, as outlined above, each treatment was compared to a historical control.

In order to take variation between males into account, a nested model was used. An analysis of across weeks is also provided.

In addition to these tests, the distribution forms of the various parameters were tested in order to evaluate the appropriateness of some of the tests being used. Certain correlations between parameters may exist and were examined as one step to determine the appropriateness of models. If necessary, alternate test methods were implemented.



The results are presented in tabular form with the addition of historical control information. In addition to these tables, a written report of all findings is provided. As information became available from the on-going investigation of these data, it was reported and suggestions included for changes to the methods of analysis. The statistical reports give the level of significance using both a one-tailed and two-tailed test. Finally, a summary sheet for each study is provided.



# MODEL

$$eijk \sim nid(0,0^2)$$

Males are randomly drawn from infinite population

<u>8.U.</u>	d.f.	S.S.	MS	E(MS)	F
TOTAL	.39	252 (Yijk - Y)2			
GROUPS MALES		20E (qi q)2	Si	62+2623+2026	153
WITHIN GROUPS	.18	225 ( 7 11 - 7 1 )2	5,3	02+202	50
EMAINDER	.20	222 (Yijk - Jij.)	5,2	0,	

### E. References

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay
  - a. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:
    Nature, 221:68, 1969.
  - Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:Science, 163:689, 1969.
  - c. Gabridge, M.G. and Legator, M.S.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med., 130:831, 1969.
  - Gabridge, M.G., Oswald, E.J. and Legator, M.S.:
     Mut. Res., 7:117, 1969.
  - e. Legator, M.S. and Malling, H.V.: In, <u>Environmental</u>

    <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>, A. Hollaender (Ed.), Plenum

    Publishing Corp., New York, in press.

### 2. Cytogenetics

- a. Nichols, V.W.: Personal communication.
- b. Legator, M.S.: In, <u>Laboratory Diagnosis of Diseases</u>

  <u>Caused by Toxic Agents</u>, F. W. Sunderman and F. W.

  Sunderman (Ed.), Warren H. Green, Inc., St. Louis,

  pp. 17-22, 1970.
- c. Hsu, T.C. and Patton, J.L.: Technical Addendum in,

  <u>Comparative Mammalian Cytogenetics</u>, K. Benirschke

  (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 454-460, 1969.
- d. Legator, M.S. et al.: Cytogenetic studies in rats of cyclohexylamine, a metabolite of cyclamate. Science, <u>165</u>:1139, 1969.

## 3. Dominant Lethal

- a. Bateman, A.J.: Genet. Res. Comb., <u>1</u>:381, 1960.
- b. Bateman, A.J.: Nature, 210:205, 1966.
- Ehling, U.H., Cumming, R.B. and Malling, H.V.:
   Mut. Res., <u>5</u>:417, 1968.
- d. Epstein, S.S. and Shafner, H.: Nature, <u>219</u>:385, 1968.

### F. Abbreviations

- 1. mu = micron
- 2. mcg = ug = microgram
- 3. g = gram
- 4. kg = kilogram
- 5. ml = milliliter
- 6. rpm = revolutions per minute
- 7. °C = degrees centigrade
- 8. pH = power of the hydrogen ion concentration to the base 10
- 9. M = molar solution
- 10. conc. = concentration
- 11. MTD = maximum tolerated dosage = High =  $LD_5$  if determined or else exceedingly high dose, such as 5 g/kg
- 12. INT = intermediate = medium level
- 13. USE = usage level if known = low level
- 14. BSS = balanced salt solution
- 15. C-metaphase = cells arrested in metaphase, using colchine or colcemid
- 16.  $LD_{50}$  = that dosage which produced 50% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 17. LD<sub>5</sub> = that dosage which produced 5% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 18. NC = negative control
- 19. PC = positive control
- 20. AU = acute usage level (low level)
- 21. AI = acute intermediate level (medium level)
- 22. AMTD = acute maximum tolerated dose level ( $LD_5$  level, high level)



- 23. SAU = subacute usage level (low level)
- 24. SAI = subacute intermediate level (medium level)
- 25. SA  $LD_5$  = subacute  $LD_5$  level (MTD level, high level)
- 26.  $CO_2$  = carbon dioxide
- 27. DMN = Dimethyl nitrosamine
- 28. EMS = Ethyl methane sulfonate
- 29. TEM = Triethylene melamine
- 30. DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide
- 31. MEM = minimal essential medium (Eagle's)
- 32. CPE = cytopathic effect
- 33. his = histidine marker
- 34. D-3 = mitotic recombinant strain of Saccharomyces
- 35. mf = mean mutant frequency
- 36. MFt/MFc = mean mutant frequency of the test compound group compared to mean mutant frequency of the negative control group
- 37. CFU = colony forming units
- 38. WI-38 = code name for a strain of human embryonic lung tissue culture cells
- 39. Rec  $\times 10^5$  = mitotic recombinants  $\times 10^5$
- 40. Mean B/A = mean frequency
- 41. tot. scr. = total scored
- 42. tot. = total
- 43.  $\chi^2$  = a test of variation in the data from the computed regression line tested in these studies at the 5% level
- 44. Aber. = aberrations
- 45. Frag. = fragment
- 46. HMA = host-mediated assay

